



Cotton

of India

COTTON STATISTICS & NEWS Association

2017-18 • No. 5 • 2nd May, 2017 Published every Tuesday

Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green, Mumbai - 400 033 Phone: 30063400 Fax: 2370 0337 Email: cai@caionline.in www.caionline.in

Managing Climate & Crop Risk **Through New Technologies**

Currently working as the Chief Business Head with Skymet Weather Services Company engaged in weather forecasting and agri risk solutions, Shri. Nalin Rawal is an agribusiness professional with over two decades of experience in agri inputs marketing, exports and imports of agro commodities and agriculture risk management. He is a graduate in Agriculture & Animal Husbandry from G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar and has done his Business Management from IMT Ghaziabad.

He has worked at various strategic positions in

reputed Indian and multinational companies such as Rallis (Tata), Chambal Fertilizers (K.K. Birla), Reliance Retail, British Petroleum (UK), Aztec (New Zealand).

His core competencies Weather includes Agriculture & risk management, New **Business** development, establishing marketing network, brand management, market

linkages, strategic tie ups, exports and imports of agro products. He has worked *extensively in Indian rural* markets and established innovative sales model

through CRM. He has successfully demonstrated profitable sales model of Fruits & Vegetables in Mandi's by corporate. He was instrumental in initiating innovative business models in retail cold chain and distributed energy through biomass in India. He is actively associated with various agribusiness forums and colleges where he is a regular, visiting / guest faculty.



Shri. Nalin Rawal Chief Business Head, Skymet Weather Services Company

Farmers in a village may have planted the same crop for centuries, but over time, weather patterns and soil conditions change. Epidemic of pests and diseases add to the worry. Updated information allows the farmers to cope with and even benefit from these changes. But, providing such knowledge can be challenging. Agriculture is a highly localised aspect and thus, information must be tailored to suit specific conditions. The green revolution had greatly improved agricultural productivity. Now there is a demonstrable need

> for a new revolution that will lower the prices for consumers (through reduced waste and more efficient chain management), supply contribute to "smart" agriculture, and incentivise farmers (through higher income) to increase their production.

New Technologies for Risk Mitigation in Agriculture

Satellite remote sensing is capturing information about different ground features while staying more than 400 kms above the

ground. These satellites can take on board a variety of sensors to gather information. These have proved to be very useful in providing fairly accurate data in a very short time on sowing trends, acreages of different crops, stresses on crops during midseason and areas affected due to this, harvesting trends, yield and production estimates. In India, Kharif is the main cropping season aided by the



monsoon rains. While it is a boon for crops, remote sensing technology finds it challenging to gather information below cloud cover. In last few years, a unique method of capturing images has helped in overcoming this challenge to a great extent. This is by constellation of multiple satellites that can revisit the same area in a very short time, i.e., in about 2 to 5 days. This has significantly improved the capability of getting high resolution cloud free images of the ground. Termed as 'Nano-Satellites', these are constellations of very small satellites, of the size of a shoe box and weigh only 4 to 5 kgs. Another way of overcoming the challenge of cloud cover is to fly below clouds. This is possible either through traditional aerial photography or a handier and easily usable technology of UAVs (unmanned aerial vehicles). They fly very close to the ground (few 100 meters) and can collect very valuable crop related information, which can be readily used by farmers and others.

Precision farming is a localised form of applying technology to gain farm level output through efficient management. Drones have been in use in this domain for a long time now and have been helpful for high value ground crops like grapes. Multiple sensors like Near-Infrared, Thermal and even Hyperspectral sensors are used



to find out if every area is receiving optimum irrigation and check for soil nutrition levels and its variation across fields. They also help to detect the spread of localised diseases and optimise fertilizer and insecticide quantity and spread.

Yield estimation is another area where the use of technology is helping in more precise estimation than what had been possible earlier. Horticulture yield estimation is a tricky subject and efforts are still on by various scientific agencies to reach a less field intensive and more accurate estimation of yield of plantation crops like, oranges, pomegranates, mango etc. The techniques being used at present are image processing of field photographs to arrive at fruit count and then model the whole area; selection of representative trees for sampling through UAVs; RFID based tagging of plants for monitoring; and weather and ground based inputs for yield estimation. These are turning out to be helpful in reaching better estimates.

Cotton Production Forecasting Approach

Weather Monitoring

Weather monitoring is required to be done for the target districts on ongoing basis by tracking current weather conditions along with forecasts long range medium range and short range. Actual rainfall is to be monitored on day to day basis along with cumulative rainfall received.

Crop Monitoring (Field Survey & Remote Sensing based)

- Crop monitoring shall be done from initiation of sowing till harvesting of the crop,
- Initial crop sowing shall be monitored through field surveys and discussions with government officials, agri input dealers, SRR (Seed Replacement Rates) farmers etc.
- Once the crop germinates and comes to vegetative stage, crop signatures will be taken through GPS and based on Remote Sensing satellite based acreages shall be estimated.
- Weather and Crop conditions shall be monitored on ongoing basis
- Biotic / abiotic stress to be monitored and its impact on production shall be forecasted.
- CCE (Crop cutting experiments) shall be done for estimating yield levels and quality of the produce.



Ms. Sudha B. Padia

Cotton Association of India, Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai – 400 033 Telephone No.: 3006 3405 Fax No.: 2370 0337 Email: publications@caionline.in



Crop Acreage estimation through Remote Sensing (NDVI*)

Methodology for Crop Acreage Estimation

Detailed methodology for acreage estimation has been shown in the figure below.



NDVI Slicing Techniques

In the areas where no spectral signature is available for the classification of crop, NDVI slicing techniques is found to be very useful for differentiating different crops. In this technique, NDVI is run for the satellite images. The NDVI values are then processed to remove all the negative values to retain data for the vegetation only. Further, based on the general crop vigor ranges and the acreage of crop as reported by different government agencies for the respective years, slicing of NDVI value is done to map the different crops.

Generally, any of the above three methods or all the three methods are used in combination to map different crops.





Field Survey

Field survey is one of the essential components of crop mapping. Field surveys are conducted in different parts of the study area to gather primary as well as secondary information about the crop from the field and various related agencies. Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to collect specific ground information which are overlaid with satellite images to classify differentiate one crop from others, intercropping etc., based on their spectral signature. The crop related information gathered during the different surveys act as the training sets for identification and classification of crop fields on the satellite images. During this fieldwork information about the ground scenario



regarding the distribution of different crops and intercropping details are also collected Field staffs record the latitude and longitude of the location along with associated attribute information related to crop type, transplantation date, crop growth stage, NDVI values, etc. A large number of well spatially well distributed GPS points are collected to carry out classification based on spectral signature and crop type discrimination.

Real Time Crop Advisory

Government and many Agri Input companies are attempting various new ways to provide agro advisories based on weather (agromet advisories by GOI, SMS, voice messages, picture messages and etc.) However, these advisories are of little importance to the farmer who requires information specific to his field and relevant for his crop and the variety. This situation is not being addressed till date. If proper and timely advisories are given to the farmer his productivity levels can be raised easily by 20 to 30 percent on an average.



Major challenges

Farmer in a village grow more than 5 to 6 major crops

- Each crop has 4 to 6 major varieties. All of them are not sown together and their sowing period are also different (sowing window is normally 30 to 45 days)
- POP is different for different varieties of a crop, depending on the time of their sowing.
- Impact of weather for the same crop at different stages will be different and therefore, POP also require customisation.

Dynamic system with combination of live weather data, Weather forecast and crop expert

PEST FORECASTING MODEL

Example BPH (Brown Plant Hopper) in Paddy



system supported by software can help automatic monitoring of the field on live basis and precise agro advisories can be given to farmer which are field specific.

Weather plays an important role in the growing process of the crop as well as development of pests and diseases. Forecasting systems are based on assumptions of the pathogen's interactions with the host and environment, also known as the disease triangle. In most cases the host can be suitably defined as resistant or susceptible, and the presence of the pathogen may often be reasonably ascertained based on previous cropping history or perhaps survey data.

Pest Forecasting Tool

This tool combines three parameters of disease triangle i.e.

- Host (Crop),
- Pathogen (present in the field) and

• Environment (which is monitored by AWS

or Automated Weather Station)



Hourly data is sent by AWS from a geographical land based on crop stage in the area (which can be mapped through GIS mapping or UAV). Thereby, pest and disease forecasting is done on real time basis.

Courtesy: Cotton India 2016-17 (The views expressed in this column are of the author and not that of Cotton Association of India)

Production of Fibres

(In Mn. Kg)

As on	Raw Cotton		Synthetic	Cellulosic	Sub Total			
110 011	(OctSept.)	PSF	ASF	PPSF	VSF	out roui		
2005-06	4097	628.15	107.81	3.08	228.98	968.02		
2006-07	4760	791.99	97.13	3.52	246.83	1139.47		
2007-08	5219	879.61	81.23	3.43	279.90	1244.17		
2008-09	4930	750.12	79.50	3.44	232.75	1065.81		
2009-10	5185	872.13	90.45	3.38	302.09	1268.05		
2010-11	5765	896.33	79.48	3.74	305.10	1284.65		
2011-12	6239	829.74	77.71	4.08	322.64	1234.17		
2012-13	6290	848.05	73.59	4.26	337.49	1263.39		
2013-14	6766	845.95	96.12	3.71	361.02	1306.80		
2014-15	6562	881.56	92.54	4.62	365.17	1343.89		
2015-16 (P)	5746	893.95	106.81	4.70	341.91	1347.37		
2016-17 (P) (AprFeb.)		822.97	89.15	3.22	333.50	1248.84		
		20	15-16					
April		73.62	9.45	0.35	28.62	112.03		
May		75.55	9.50	0.30	18.42	103.77		
June		67.17	7.88	0.31	19.50	94.86		
July		70.75	9.15	0.40	29.70	110.00		
August		74.07	9.35	0.47	30.63	114.52		
September		74.24	7.95	0.46	30.42	113.07		
October		76.66	9.23	0.38	31.34	117.61		
November		74.98	8.15	0.30	30.72	114.15		
December		76.65	9.36	0.45	31.49	117.95		
January		79.10	9.40	0.46	31.33	120.29		
February		73.52	8.58	0.42	28.07	110.59		
March		77.64	8.81	0.41	31.67	118.53		
		201	6-17 (P)					
April		73.56	8.86	0.37	30.32	113.11		
May		77.07	9.39	0.44	31.72	118.62		
June		77.46	9.28	0.45	21.87	109.06		
July		79.32	8.07	0.30	30.41	118.10		
August		79.92	8.20	0.35	31.96	120.43		
September		76.96	9.02	0.22	31.14	117.34		
October		79.51	6.75	0.16	32.46	118.88		
November		71.06	7.10	0.24	31.18	109.58		
December		71.65	7.28	0.29	32.09	111.31		
January		72.68	7.78	0.20	32.11	112.77		
February		63.78	7.42	0.20	28.24	99.64		

(P)= Provisional

Source : Office of the Textile Commissioner

Since 1921, we are dedicated to the cause of Indian cotton.

Just one of the reasons, you should use our Laboratory Testing Services.

The Cotton Association of India (CAI) is respected as the chief trade body in the hierarchy of the Indian cotton economy. Since its origin in 1921, CAI's contribution has been unparalleled in the development of cotton across India.

The CAI is setting benchmarks across a wide spectrum of services targeting the entire cotton value chain. These range from research and development at the grass root level to education, providing an arbitration mechanism, maintaining Indian cotton grade standards, issuing Certificates of Origin to collecting and disseminating statistics and information. Moreover, CAI is an autonomous organization portraying professionalism and reliability in cotton testing.

The CAI's network of independent cotton testing & research is strategically spread across major cotton centres in India and is equipped with:

- State-of-the-art technology & world-class Premier testing machines
- HVI test mode with trash % tested gravimetrically

LABORATORY LOCATIONS

Current locations : • Maharashtra : Mumbai; Akola; Aurangabad • Gujarat : Rajkot; Mundra; Ahmedabad • Andhra Pradesh : Guntur, Warangal • Madhya Pradesh : Indore • Karnataka : Hubli • Punjab : Bathinda Upcoming locations : • Telangana: Adilabad



COTTON ASSOCIATION OF INDIA

Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Opposite Cotton Green Station, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai 400 033, Maharashtra, INDIA. Tel.: +91 22-3006 3400 • Fax: +91 22-2370 0337 • E-mail: cai@caionline.in • www.caionline.in

COTTON EXCHANGE MARCHES AHEAD

Madhoo Pavaskar, Rama Pavaskar

Chapter 6 March To Freedom - II

(Contd. from Issue No.4)

Pin-pricks

Besides limits on stocks and curbs on exports under the Essential Commodities Act, 1955, three major constraints faced by the private cotton trade during the last over three decades were (a) selective credit controls, (b) regulations on delivery contracts and (c) suspension of futures trading. The trade also suffered a number of pin-pricks such as octroi and varying rates of sales tax in different States, which restricted to some extent the free and speedy movement of cotton. But these were not discriminatory in nature and affected equally the

private trade as well as the public and co-operative sector agencies. Such levies are imposed on most commodities, and cotton is not an exception.

Although marketmen may have legitimate grievances against its incidence and implementation practices, commodity taxation is universal and the underlying need for it by the State governments or local authorities cannot be denied. Accepting this reality, the cotton trade did not oppose such taxation, but made appeals to the appropriate authorities to bring about uniformity in these taxes among different States, and replace the irksome levies like

octroi or entry tax, which delays the movement of cotton, by some other suitable imposts. While the cotton trade is not averse to living with these levies, it hopes that the authorities will usher in rationality in them for smooth and swift movement of cotton.

Yet another irritant confronting the cotton trade and industry for several decades was the obsolete Cotton Transport Act. This legislation enacted in 1923 restricted the movement of cotton into "protected" areas to maintain the quality and reputation of cotton grown in those areas and prevent admixture. Mills in the protected areas were required to obtain licences for bringing from outside full pressed bales/lint for their own

<section-header><text><text>

consumption. Such licences were not issued to parties other than the mills.

The need for such a legislation was probably felt in the 1920s when India was a major exporter of cotton. It was then feared that admixture with inferior quality cotton from other areas may affect the quality of lint exported from the protected areas. Hence, except the consuming mills, others including merchants were prevented from bringing cotton into the protected areas. But, with 95 per cent of cotton production consumed domestically after

> Independence, and exports few and far between, the Cotton Transport Act proved to be a needless pinprick to both the cotton trade and industry for the free movement of cotton into the protected areas.

> As Mr. C.H. Mirani in his Presidential address at the 67th Annual General Meeting of the East India Cotton Association held on September 18, 1989 pointed out, "The apprehension entertained in 1923 in the conditions then prevailing no more holds good in respect of full pressed bales or the lint i.e. ginned cotton. The continuation of this restriction is not only causing unnecessary hardships to the

consuming mills, but also to the traders in smooth movement of cotton". Mr. Mirani therefore urged upon the government to repeal the Act. It, however, took six more years for the authorities to realise the absurdity of the obsolete Cotton Transport Act. The Act was finally rescinded in May 1995 to the relief of the cotton trade and industry.

These pin-pricks apart, along with the long battle against the Essential Commodities Act for the removal of stock and export restrictions (as described in the previous chapter), the struggle for liberalization by the private cotton trade during the last two decades was aimed at mainly the abolition of selective credit controls and deregulation of delivery contracts, besides the resumption of futures trading. This chapter presents briefly an account of this strenuous march to freedom by the Cotton Exchange to achieve the former two goals. The march towards the revival of futures trading is covered in the next chapter.

A. Abolition of Selective Credit Controls

Instruments of Control

Section 21 of the Banking Regulation Act authorises the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) to impose selective credit controls by giving directions to banks on four major aspects of bank advances or other financial accomodations, namely,

- the purpose for which advances may or may not be made;
- (2) the margins to be maintained in respect of secured advances;
- (3) the maximum amount of advances, or other financial accommodation, which may be made by a bank; and
- (4) the rate of interest and other terms and conditions, subject to which advances or other financial accommodation may be granted.

With these 'directive' powers in its armory, RBI imposed from time to time selective credit controls on different commodities by resorting to mainly three instruments. These were

- (a) minimum margins (representing a portion of the value of security charged to the bank, which is expected to have been paid for by the borrower out of his own resources) for secured advances against selected commodities;
- (b) ceilings on the level of credit; and
- (c) minimum rate of interest on advances against specified commodities.

Through these three instruments, RBI controls not only the cost of credit, but also the quantum of credit to the individual borrowers against selected commodities. Among different commodities, cotton attracted credit controls by the RBI for a little over three decades since the mid-1960s, ostensibly with a view to curbing the rise in its prices consequent upon the apprehension of a possible speculative hoarding of stocks.

It was in August 1965 that the selective credit controls were first applied to bank advances against seed cotton and cotton lint. Although lint cotton prices were subject to statutory ceilings then, the market prices were ruling unofficially above the ceilings. It was thought that if bank credit was curtailed, the supposedly hoarded stocks would come out in the market and bring down the prices. A minimum margin of 50 per cent on the advances against seed cotton and cotton lint was therefore prescribed. But the hopes of bringing down the prices were belied. In April 1967 an absolute maximum level was therefore also imposed on the level of total bank credit to each party against its stocks of cotton lint and seed cotton. However, the market prices continued to rule unofficially above the statutory ceilings.

Subsequently, realising the futility of controlling lint cotton prices through statutory fiats, the practice of fixing statutory floor and ceiling prices was discontinued from September 1, 1967. Disappointingly, the RBI continued to resort to selective credit controls in the fond hope of arresting the rise in cotton prices. In 1970 the maximum level of credit was lowered and the minimum margins against advances were raised to as much as 60 per cent. Since then, the severe restrictive credit policy continued almost uninterruptedly for over 25 years, except for a few short-lived breaks, with frequent modifications time and again in all the three parametres, namely, the maximum level of credit, the minimum margin requirement and the rate of interest.

No Relaxation

Thus, on March 29, 1979, the RBI fixed minimum margins on advances against cotton and kapas for parties other than cotton mills(which obviously included the private cotton trade) at 45 per cent of the value of stocks for new and long staple varieties, and at 60-per cent for 'other' varieties. The margin against warehouse receipts was prescribed a shade lower at 50 per cent. For advances against 'other' varieties, an absolute ceiling was also fixed at the peak level of credit in any of the previous three years. The minimum margins for the mills ranged from 20 per cent to 45 per cent, depending on their location and the value of stocks upto three months' consumption and in excess of that. There was no ceiling on the level of credit for the mills. Banks were directed to charge minimum interest rate at 15 per cent for the private trade and 14 per cent for the mills. They were prohibited from discounting the usance bills and opening inland letters of credit against sales of cotton and kapas. On September 13, 1979 the minimum interest rate was raised to 18 per cent for parties other than mills and 17 per cent for mills.

intal)		A(P)/K/T ICS-107 Fine 34 mm 3.0-3.8 33	16310	16310		16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16310	16169	16169	16169	16169	16169	16169	16169	16169	16169	16169	16310	16169	16251	
(₹\Qu		A/K/T/O 1 ICS-106 Fine 3.5-4.9 3.1	12963	13104		13104	13104	13048	12991	12991	12991	12991	12935	12935	12935	12935	12935	12879	12879	12879	12879	12935	12935	12935	12935	12935	12935	13104	12879	12962	
		M/M/A/K/T/O ICS-105 Fine 31 mm 3.5-4.9 30	12682	12823	÷	12823	12823	12766	12710	12710	12710	12654	12570	12570	12513	12513	12513	12457	12457	12513	12485	12429	12373	12345	12317	12317	12288	12823	12288	12557	
		M/M/A/K 1 ICS-105 Fine 30 mm 3.54.9 29	12373	12485		12485	12485	12317	12148	12204	12260	12260	12260	12260	12204	12204	12204	12148	12148	12204	12204	12148	12092	12063	12035	12007	12007	12485	12007	12217	
		GUJ ICS-105 Fine 29 mm 3.5-4.9 28	12260	12373	÷	12373	12373	12204	12035	12092	12120	12120	12120	12120	12063	12007	12007	12007	12007	12063	12063	12007	11951	11923	11895	11867	11838	12373	11838	12079	
		M/M/A/K ICS-105 Fine 29 mm 3.5-4.9 28	12120	12232		12232	12232	12063	11895	11951	11979	11979	11979	11979	11923	11867	11867	11810	11810	11895	11867	11838	11782	11754	11726	11698	11698	12232	11698	11924	
		GUJ ICS-105 Fine 28 mm 3.5-4.9 27	12007	12120		12120	12120	11951	11782	11782	11782	11782	11782	11782	11726	11670	11670	11670	11670	11670	11670	11670	11614	11585	11557	11529	11501	12120	11501	11759	
		M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 28 mm 3.5-4.9 27	11895	12007	÷	12007	12007	11838	11670	11670	11698	11698	11698	11698	11642	11557	11557	11529	11529	11585	11585	11557	11501	11473	11445	11417	11417	12007	11417	11653	
ES		P/H/R ICS-105 Fine 28 mm 3.5-4.9 27	12598	12851		12710	12682	12598	12457	12457	12598	12570	12485	12541	12541	12457	12513	12513	12570	12738	12682	12654	12598	12598	12598	12541	12541	12851	12457	12587	Verage
T RAT		2 M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 3.5-4.9 26	11220	11332	Y	11332	11332	11164	11023	11023	11023	11023	11023	11023	10882	10826	10826	10376	10376	10432	10376	10376	10320	10320	10292	10264	10264	11332	10264	10769	A = A
Y SPO	ril 2017	5-17 CroJ M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 27 mm 3.0-3.4 26	10854	10967	LIDA	10967	10967	10798	10657	10657	10657	10657	10657	10657	10517	10461	10461	10095	10095	10151	10095	9983	9926	9926	9898	9898	9898	10967	9898	10412	= Lowest
UNTR	dΡ	2016 P/H/R ICS-105 Fine 27 mm 3.5-4.9 26	12541	12795	ΗΟ	12654	12626	12541	12401	12401	12541	12513	12429	12485	12485	12401	12457	12457	12513	12654	12598	12570	12513	12513	12513	12457	12457	12795	12401	12521	st I.=
UPCO		M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 2.6 mm 3.5-4.9 25	10882	10995		10995	10995	10826	10686	10686	10686	10686	10686	10686	10545	10489	10489	10095	10095	10151	10095	9983	9926	9926	9898	9870	9870	10995	9870	10427	= Hiohe
		M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 26 mm 3.0-3.4 25	10657	10770	:	10770	10770	10601	10461	10461	10461	10461	10461	10461	10320	10236	10236	9814	9814	9870	9814	9617	9561	9505	9476	9420	9364	10770	9364	10141	H
		P/H/R ICS-202 Fine 26 mm 3.5-4.9 26	12373	12626		12485	12457	12373	12232	12232	12373	12345	12260	12317	12317	12232	12288	12288	12345	12485	12429	12401	12345	12345	12345	12288	12288	12626	12232	12353	
		M/M ICS-104 Fine 24 mm 4.0-5.5 23	10798	10911		10911	10911	10770	10629	10629	10686	10686	10686	10686	10657	10657	10657	10657	10657	10657	10657	10629	10573	10517	10489	10489	10489	10911	10489	10670	
		KAR ICS-103 Fine 23 mm 4.0-5.5 21	9533	9617	÷	9617	9617	9476	9420	9420	9476	9476	9476	9476	9448	9448	9448	9448	9448	9448	9448	9448	9392	9336	9308	9308	9308	9617	9308	9452	
		GUJ ICS-102 Fine 22 mm 4.0-6.0 20	GUJ ICS-102 Fine 22 mm 20 20 8295 8380	8380	8380	8239	8183	8211	8267	8239	8239	8239	8211	8155	8155	8155	8155	8155	8155	8155	8099	8042	8014	8014	8014	8380	8014	8189			
		P/H/R ICS-201 Fine 22 mm 5.0-7.0 15	9954	10039	:	10011	10011	9983	9870	9870	9870	9870	9870	9926	9926	9758	9786	9786	9786	9842	9842	9870	9870	9870	9983	9926	9926	10039	9758	9894	
		P/H/R ICS-101 Fine 22 mm 5.0-7.0 15	9673	9758 9729	9729	9701	9589	9589	9589	9589	9589	9645	9645	9476	9505	9505	9505	9561	9561	9589	9589	9589	9701	9645	9645	9758	9476	9612			
		Growth G. Standard Grade Staple Micronaire Strength/GPT	1	С	4	IJ	9	7	8	10	11	12	13	14	15	17	18	19	20	21	22	24	25	26	27	28	29	Η	L	A	



COTTON STATISTICS & NEWS ADVERTISEMENT RATES

effective from April 2015

RATES PER INSERTION

	For CAI Members	For Non-Members
Full Page	5,000	5,500
Half Page	3,000	3,300

RATES FOR FOREIGN ADVERTISERS

Full Page	US \$ 100
Half Page	US \$ 60

Pay for	For CAI Members	For Non-Members
8 Insertions, get 12 (Full Page)	40,000	45,000
8 Insertions, get 12 (Half Page)	24,000	26,000
3 Insertions, get 4 (Full Page)	15,000	18,000
3 Insertions, get 4 (Half Page)	9,000	10,000

			_	
Mec	han	ical	Data:	

Full page print area:	172x250 mm (Non Bleed Ad) 210x297 mm (+ Bleed)
Half page print area :	172x125 mm (Non Bleed Ad) 148x210 mm (+ Bleed)

To advertise, please contact: Shri Divyesh Thanawala, Assistant Manager Cotton Association of India, Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai – 400 033 Telephone No.: 3006 3404 Fax No.: 2370 0337 Email: publications@caionline.in

UPCOUNTRY SPOT RATES (F											Rs./Qtl)	
	Standard in Millime	Descriptic etres basec [By la	Spot Rate (Upcountry) 2016-17 Crop APRIL 2017									
Sr. No.	Growth	Grade Standard	Grade	Staple	Micronaire	Strength /GPT	24th	25th	26th	27th	28th	29th
1	P/H/R	ICS-101	Fine	Below 22mm	5.0-7.0	15	9589 (34100)	9589 (34100)	9589 (34100)	9701 (34500)	9645 (34300)	9645 (34300)
2	P/H/R	ICS-201	Fine	Below 22mm	5.0-7.0	15	9870 (35100)	9870 (35100)	9870 (35100)	9983 (35500)	9926 (35300)	9926 (35300)
3	GUJ	ICS-102	Fine	22mm	4.0-6.0	20	8155 (29000)	8099 (28800)	8042 (28600)	8014 (28500)	8014 (28500)	8014 (28500)
4	KAR	ICS-103	Fine	23mm	4.0-5.5	21	9448 (33600)	9392 (33400)	9336 (33200)	9308 (33100)	9308 (33100)	9308 (33100)
5	M/M	ICS-104	Fine	24mm	4.0-5.0	23	10629 (37800)	10573 (37600)	10517 (37400)	10489 (37300)	10489 (37300)	10489 (37300)
6	P/H/R	ICS-202	Fine	26mm	3.5-4.9	26	12401 (44100)	12345 (43900)	12345 (43900)	12345 (43900)	12288 (43700)	12288 (43700)
7	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	26mm	3.0-3.4	25	9617 (34200)	9561 (34000)	9505 (33800)	9476 (33700)	9420 (33500)	9364 (33300)
8	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	26mm	3.5-4.9	25	9983 (35500)	9926 (35300)	9926 (35300)	9898 (35200)	9870 (35100)	9870 (35100)
9	P/H/R	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.5.4.9	26	12570 (44700)	12513 (44500)	12513 (44500)	12513 (44500)	12457 (44300)	12457 (44300)
10	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.0-3.4	26	9983 (35500)	9926 (35300)	9926 (35300)	9898 (35200)	9898 (35200)	9898 (35200)
11	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.5-4.9	26	10376 (36900)	10320 (36700)	10320 (36700)	10292 (36600)	10264 (36500)	10264 (36500)
12	P/H/R	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	12654 (45000)	12598 (44800)	12598 (44800)	12598 (44800)	12541 (44600)	12541 (44600)
13	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	11557 (41100)	11501 (40900)	11473 (40800)	11445 (40700)	11417 (40600)	11417 (40600)
14	GUJ	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	11670 (41500)	11614 (41300)	11585 (41200)	11557 (41100)	11529 (41000)	11501 (40900)
15	M/M/A/K	ICS-105	Fine	29mm	3.5-4.9	28	11838 (42100)	11782 (41900)	11754 (41800)	11726 (41700)	11698 (41600)	11698 (41600)
16	GUJ	ICS-105	Fine	29mm	3.5-4.9	28	12007 (42700)	11951 (42500)	11923 (42400)	11895 (42300)	11867 (42200)	11838 (42100)
17	M/M/A/K	ICS-105	Fine	30mm	3.5-4.9	29	12148 (43200)	12092 (43000)	12063 (42900)	12035 (42800)	12007 (42700)	12007 (42700)
18	M/M/A/K/T/O	ICS-105	Fine	31mm	3.5-4.9	30	12429 (44200)	12373 (44000)	12345 (43900)	12317 (43800)	12317 (43800)	12288 (43700)
19	A/K/T/O	ICS-106	Fine	32mm	3.5-4.9	31	12935 (46000)	12935 (46000)	12935 (46000)	12935 (46000)	12935 (46000)	12935 (46000)
20	M(P)/K/T	ICS-107	Fine	34mm	3.0-3.8	33	16169 (57500)	16169 (57500)	16169 (57500)	16169 (57500)	16169 (57500)	16169 (57500)

(Note: Figures in bracket indicate prices in Rs./Candy)