

Technical Analysis Price outlook for Gujarat-ICS-105, 29mm and ICE cotton futures for the period 20/07/15 to 04/08/15

(The author is Director of Commtrendz Research and the views expressed in this column are his own and the author is not liable for any loss or damage, including without limitations, any profit or loss which may arise directly or indirectly from the use of above information.)

We will look into the Gujarat-ICS-105, 29mm prices along with other benchmarks and try to forecast price moves going forward.

As mentioned in the previous update, fundamental analysis involves studying and analysing various reports, data and based on that arriving at some possible direction for prices in the coming months or quarters.

Some of the recent fundamental drivers for the domestic cotton prices are:

• Cotton futures are lower in line with international prices. Good rains initially have led to cotton sowing

surpassing last year's record. The only hope now is that heavy rains in US bring down the cotton output there, and China ups its imports of the commodity. However, the recent warm weather has been seen as conducive for crop development.

• Since the top destination for Indian cotton, China, is remaining inactive in importing the commodity, exports are not expected to see a significant growth in the current year. But, if China ups imports to meet quality requirements, things could change favourably for cotton futures.

• The Cotton Association of India (CAI) has estimated the May output of the crop at 382.75 lakh bales for the 2014-15 season (beginning from October 1, 2014).

Some of the fundamental drivers for International cotton prices are:

physical demand from mills.

Cotton Benchmark futures in New

York were lower on Monday as several

commodities took sharp losses and the

U.S. dollar hit its highest level since

April. The fall to April lows stimulated

(USDA) crop progress highlights

indicated 76 per cent squaring vs 61 per cent a week ago (81 per cent 5- year

average), and US cotton - 33 per cent

setting bolls vs 18 per cent a week ago (36

• The U.S. Agriculture Department



Shri Gnanasekar Thiagarajan

per cent 5- year average -USDA.

• Speculators cut their net long position in cotton contracts on ICE Futures U.S. in the week ended July 14, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission data showed on Friday. The non- commercial dealers cut a net long position in cotton, the data from CFTC showed. ICE cotton speculators cut net long positions by 775 contracts to 46,110 in the week up to July 14.

Let us now dwell on some technical factors that influence price movements.

As mentioned earlier, we expected a consolidation in the 9000-10,000/qtl range before the next upward move targeting resistance at 10,645/qtl in the coming sessions. No change in view. Supports are now seen at the 9,400-500 / qtl levels followed by 9,100-300 / qtl levels. Ideally, these supports are expected to hold for a push higher towards 9800-10,000/ qtl, in the coming sessions. Any unexpected fall below 9,100/qtl could warn of the picture changing to bearish again.

The trend and momentum indicators are still indicating weakness in the bigger picture, but some strength is also seen in the short-term, which could initially see prices moving higher 10,000-10,200 /- qtl. Indicators are displaying neutral to bullish tendencies, which could see prices consolidating in a broad range before attempting to move higher again. Prices could dip towards 9,400-500/- qtl levels lower in the coming sessions. But subsequent to the correction, it is expected to rise again towards important resistance at 10,250/- qtl in the coming months.

We will also look at the ICE Cotton futures charts for a possible direction in international prices.

As mentioned in the previous update, while supports near 62-



63c continues to hold, the upward momentum is expected to persist and possibly rise towards the next important resistance at 72-73c. Supports have so far been seen around 63-64c levels. Once above 67c, it could push higher towards the above mentioned resistances. Only an unexpected decline below 63c could warn that the bullish picture has been negated and strong decline could begin again. Such a fall could take prices lower towards 58-60c levels. Favoured view expects the prices to edge higher and test the resistances and the upward trend to continue while 63c holds.

CONCLUSION:

As mentioned earlier, present price movements indicate a possible consolidation before the next upward move begins. There is a bullish bias on both the domestic and international prices. Both the domestic prices and international prices have again moved to recent highs and now are seen consolidating waiting for the next move, which is more likely higher. For Guj ICS supports are seen at 9,500-600 /qtl and for ICE Oct cotton futures at 64c followed by 62c. Only an unexpected fall below 9,300 /qtl could change the picture to neutral in the domestic markets. The international markets look neutral to bearish, but need to overcome some strong resistances to turn bullish again.



Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai – 400 033 Telephone No.: 3006 3405 Fax No.: 2370 0337 Email: publications@caionline.in

COTAAP Corner Events for July 2015

COTAAP online activity :

The uncertainty of weather conditions, particularly monsoon is one of the major constraints in agriculture. Unfortunately this is beyond the control of farmers. But if weather forecasting is available, they can adopt contingency planning and save their crop or farm produce from unfavourable climatic conditions also.

COTAAP has provided online service of SMS to the farmers. Registered subscribers are 3286, but there are many more that have benefitted by being friends or in touch with these subscribing farmers. The project is funded by Cotton Association of India and farmers are not charged for these valuable services.

Weekly weather forecast is given indicating rainfall, temperature, wind velocity, etc. Precautions and appropriate agricultural practices are recommended to the farmers. By this information losses are avoided.

The Field staff of COTAAP is always in touch with the farmers. Field level problems are observed and discussed with the scientist empanelled with COTAAP. Recommendations by experts regarding diseases, pest and control measures, agronomic practices to be adopted are provided to the farmers through SMS service.

Use of News and Information Board :

COTAAP with financial support from CAI has installed 'News and Information Board' in villages like Chahardi, Adgaon and Chopda. Current activities of COTAAP, news regarding advances and success stories in agriculture are regularly displayed on the boards. Boards are located at such places that farmers have easy access and convenience for reading. Large number of farmers are benefitting from this activity.



Farmers read the information board

The arrival of aggressive monsoon this year in the month of June motivated the farmers to sow all the kharif crops like cotton, soyabean, moong, etc).

Although, Dr. Ramchandra Sabale, a panelist on the advisory committee of COTAAP Chopda unit had predicted than that the aggressive arrival of monsoon should not be considered as the arrival of permanent monsoon and had said that farmers would have to face a long dry spell in the month of July. As per his prediction most of the month of July had a dry spell, spelling danger to all the sown crops in the area.

All of COTAAP's activities during this period, were oriented towards visiting farmers and advising them about cultivation practices to be adopted in such a dry phase and also to ensure that protective irrigation is being provided to cotton in such a critical phase by farmers participating in the different schemes, initiated by COTAAP.

Visit of staff to the farms of participatory farmers :

As mentioned above, all the field staff of COTAAP Chopda unit visited the farms of farmers participating in different schemes. All the farmers were advised about nutrition management in cotton. As there was a long dry spell, no pest problem was observed in the area, and so the farmers were advised to avoid unnecessary sprays of insecticides (to which farmers are more habituated). The farmers were instead motivated by the COTAAP field staff to ensure they provided protective irrigation to cotton either by sprinkler, drip or by furrow method.

As COTAAP had already completed distribution of bio-fertilizers to farmers, last month, all of them



Field assistant Kantilal Patil inspects a field.

are encouraged by the field staff to apply it by the drenching method in the evening following the irrigation on farms.

The Chopda unit is conducting very unique project in collaboration with CICR Nagpur in which straight variety Suraj has been allotted to 100 farmers in the area. As most of the plots were sown in rainfed areas, they were given to farmers who have availability of assured irrigation to be given to cotton in such a dry phase. As this is a first of its kind of project, all the field staff of COTAAP visited the farms growing Suraj and ensured that protective irrigation is being provided to CICR variety Suraj.

As compared to crop condition in the whole district, all the plots undertaken by COTAAP are in very good condition.

Distribution of soil sample reports (Phase 1) :

COTAAP has started collecting samples from all the farmers participating in various schemes in the area. The unit had submitted the first lot of samples to soil testing lab for testing, the reports of which have been received this month. All the field staff handed over the testing reports to farmers at their respective villages.

Great efforts are being made by the field staff to educate farmers on how to read the soil testing report, how to interpret it, what is the nutrition requirement of cotton in their field and finally depending upon the report, what quantity of fertilizer they should apply to cotton. Farmers with extremely problematic soils are advised by the field staff about what measures should be taken to treat and care for their farms.

Dr. H. N. Ravankar (Ex H.O.D, Soil science, Marathwada Agril. University) who is on our panel of scientists is scheduled to visit Chopda next week. He will be visiting all the farmers with problematic soils. All the farmers have been advised to be present, when Dr. Ravankar visits their village and farm, to best understand what steps they should take in future to sustain the fertility of their farms.

In the first phase, soil samples from villages Akulkheda, Chunchale, Mamalde, Budhgaon and Vardi have been allotted.

Field assistant Chandrahas Patil explains soil testing report to a farmer.

Sample of soil testing report



CICR variety plot given protective irrigation by furrow system



CICR Variety Suraj plot after 25 days of giving protective irrigation

SAGA OF THE COTTON EXCHANGE By Madhoo Pavaskar **Chapter 3 Trials and Travails**

(Continued from Issue No.15)

Wiles to the Rescue

Sensing trouble ahead, on June 17, 1930, the Government ofBombay invited Sir Gilbert Wiles to rush to the rescue of King Cotton.A Committee was appointed under his chairmanship to enquire into he working of the Bombay Cotton Contracts

Act, 1922, which wasdue to expire on December 31, 1930. Pending the deliberations of theCommittee, the life of the Cotton Contracts Act was extended uptoAugust 1931. The Committee submitted its report in December 1930.

Wiles The Committee dealt exhaustively with all the vexedquestions confronting the cotton trade and made very farreachingrecommendations, which paved the way to build the East IndiaCotton Association into a veritable national institution later. Themost important recommendation by far in this regard related tothe Committee's

unanimous acceptance of the principle of unitarycontrol. The Committee unequivocally opined that it was essential forthe effective regulation of the cotton trade in Bombay that a singleassociation be given control of all dealings in cotton. It therefore recommended that all contracts contravening the bye-laws of the EastIndia Cotton Association be declared illegal and such contraventionbe made an office punishable by law. In fact, the Committee went astep further and suggested that the law should prevent the setting upof any rival association, purporting to deal in or to control forwardbusiness, by declaring such association illegal.

On the hedge contract controversy, the Committee steered asafer course. While recognising that the hedge contract should bebroad enough to prevent the possibility of a corner, it agreed thatit was not possible to force on the trade something which wouldnot function, or which would make the contract unpopular with theinvestor. Therefore, the Committee recommended very little changesin

Saga of The Cotton Exchange

the prevailing pattern of hedge contracts traded at the East IndiaCotton Association. It, moreover, recommended that hedge trading bepermitted for single months throughout the year so that the futuremarket can even afford facilities for uninterrupted, long durationhedging round the

year, without any need for hedgers to assume ndue risks flowing from switch-over of contracts from the maturitymonth to the month of next delivery. At the same time, to enablesmall traders to operate at the Association, it suggested that the unitof trading be fixed at 50 bales.

To improve the methods of arbitration relating to quality, theCommittee observed that the prevailing system at the Associationencouraged surveyors to act as advocates for the party nominatingthem rather than as independent assessors. It therefore recommended"a system of blind surveys with wholetime surveyors".

Further, toensure the quality of tendered cotton and avoid repetitive surveysof the same cotton, it suggested strongly that the Association should take steps to establish bonded warehouses one year after theintroduction of the systems of blind surveys.

The Wiles Committee recognised the utility of teji-mandi(option)business and recommended that the East India Cotton Associationshould permit dealings in options and frame appropriate byelaws tocontrol such business by providing for compulsory payment of cashpremia and the regular payment of differences through the ClearingHouse.

On the controversial question of the composition of the Board ofDirectors of the East India Cotton Association, the Wiles Committeefailed, however, to arrive at any unanimous agreement. While themajority in the Committee (representing mostly the Indian section of the cotton trade headed by Sir PurshotamdasThakurdas) favouredthe abolition of the panel system in a phased manner and the electionto the Board of Directors by the General Body on the principle of ne man, one vote, the minority (representing mostly the Europeansection of the trade and the millowners) suggested a compromise, which, no doubt, sought to remove the racial representation on theBoard (with a view to scrapping to some extent the most obnoxious feature of the 1922 Act), but permitted the panelwise system of election to the Board so that the brokers do not swamp the voiceof any section of the trade by their numerical majority. Both themajority and minority, however, unanimously recommended thegovernment should nominate two that representatives of cotton growerson the Board of the Association.

In June 1931, the Government of Bombay accepted the recommendationsof the Wiles Committee. As for the constitution of the Boardof Directors, the government while accepting the recommendationsof the minority of the Committee, consoled the majority that evenit would consider them "as a substantial step towards the idealconstitution". Immediately therefore, the government asked theEast India Cotton Association whether it was willing to carry outthe necessary alterations in its Articles of Association to implement recommendations of the Wiles Committee, which were accepted by the government, as condition precedent to giving it statutoryrecognition.

An Extraordinary General Meeting of the East India CottonAssociation held on June 22, 1931, considered the proposal contained in the Government Resolution on the report of the Wiles Committee and approved them even though it did not consider them wholly satisfactory.

Yet Another Setback

On July 14, 1931, the Government of Bombay introduced a Bill forthe regulation and control of cotton transactions in Bombay in termsof the recommendations of the Wiles Committee. Meanwhile, thevalidity of the Cotton Contracts Act, 1922, was once more extended till August 1932, pending the passing of the new Bill. But as the Bill sounded the death-knell of Shri Mahajan Association, a strongopposition was organised to it by the supporters of that Association.

Section 6 of the Bill provided that "no forward contract shall beentered into except by or through the agency of a member of theAssociation", while another section defined the Association asthe East India Cotton Association. During the second reading of the Bill, an amendment defining the term Association as "the EastIndia Cotton Association or any other association", was moved.The amendment was put to vote, and to the dismay of the treasurybenches, it was carried.

The labours of the Wiles Committee were lost, for the amendmentnegatived the very object of the Act, namely to have a unitary controlover the cotton trade in Bombay through a single association. Notsurprisingly, the government hastily withdrew the Bill. Shri MahajanAssociation was saved, but King Cotton received yet another setbackin his effort to extend his sovereignty over the entire cotton trade.

The immediate noteworthy success of the Wiles Committee reportwas the change brought about in the constitution of the East IndiaCotton Association on the recommendation of the government. Thepanels of millowners and exporters were merged to form a newpanel of 'buyers', while those of importers, commission agents andmerchants and jaithawalas were combined into one panel of 'sellers'. The brokers retained their separate identity.

Under the new constitution, the Board of Directors of the East India Cotton Association was to consist of 4 representatives eachelected directly by the 'buyers' and 'sellers' panels separately and6 by the brokers. The Government of Bombay was authorised tonominate 2 representatives of cotton growers. Though the majority of the cotton trade was not fully satisfied with the new constitution, with the elimination of the racial representation on the Board, a spirit of nationalism emerged in the East India Cotton Association.

Citadel of Civil Disobedience

In 1932, the Indian National Congress launched a movement of Civil Disobedience. The Indian section of the cotton trade expressedits sympathy with this movement by refusing to trade with Europeancotton merchants. As Sir Frederick Sykes, the then Governor of Bombay, later observed in his autobiography, "It was an open secretthat they (i.e. the Indian cotton merchants) had supplied the fundswhich enabled Congress to carry on its campaign successfully.Members of the Exchange who were opposed to the policy of theAssociation (i.e. the Europeans) were intimidated; their mills werethreatened with sabotage, and strikes were fomented among their mployees. The market was closed on so many of the innumerable anniversaries, which made up the Congress calender, that trade wasalmost completely at a standstill, and the result had been disastrous to he commerce of the city as a whole".

Sir Frederick Sykes was then determined to strike a blow atthe East India Cotton Association, which he describes as "the lastcitadel of the Civil Disobedience movement". The Government ofBombay was perturbed by the nationalistic spirit of the Indian cottonmerchants and their growing support to the freedom movement. TheWiles quickly recommendations Committee were forgotten by thealien government and put into cold storage. To counter the frequentboycott of European cotton merchants by the members of the EastIndia Cotton Association, the Government of Bombay decided toarm itself with the power to supersede the Board of Directors of theAssociation.

In July 1932, the Government of Bombay drafted a Bill "tosecure free trading in the market and to prevent obstruction theretoand interference therewith." East India Cotton Association to whomthe Bill was referred by the Government for opinion resolved "thatthe proposed Bill is unacceptable to them, first because there is noprovision for unitary control and secondly because the Bill empowersthe Government to set up a Board of Control by supersession of theelected Board." Despite loud protest from the Association and others, thegovernment introduced the Bill in the Bombay Legislative Councilin September 1932. As Prof. Dantwala so succinctly puts it; "TheLegislative Council was boycotted by popular representatives andGovernment found no difficulty in getting through all the threereadings of the Bill in less than three days. Thus, after a brief andstormy life extended by several doses of Government notifications,the Cotton Contracts Act, 1922, breathed its last in November 1932,and the Bombay Cotton Contracts Act, 1932, one which was veryinferior to the Bill, based on the report of the Wiles Committee, wasplaced on the statute book."

Clearly, the Wiles Committee report notwithstanding, the trials andtravails of the East India Cotton Association were not over. With thepassing of the Bombay Cotton Contracts Act, 1932, empowering thegovernment to supersede the Board of Directors by a governmentnominated Board of Control, the Sword of Damocles began to hangover the future of King Cotton. It was the price the cotton merchantspaid for participating in the freedom movement.

Chapter 4 The Golden Age

New Abode of King Cotton

By a long standing practice of many years, futures (hedge) trading in cotton was carried on in Bombay in two different sessions at two different places. As long as the market was at Colaba, the afternoon session was held there between 4.30 p.m. and 6.30 p.m. on the premises of the East India Cotton Association. The midday session was always conducted at the Marwari Bazar on Kalbadevi Road in a dingy room under the control of the Bombay Cotton Brokers' Association. This practice continued even after the establishment of the East India Cotton Association in 1922.

When the cotton market was shifted to Sewree on November 1, 1923, the afternoon session began to take place at Sewree, instead of at Colaba. But separated as it was by a distance of nearly five kilometers from the Marwari Bazar, the heart of the cotton trade, Sewree was an inconvenient place for futures trading. In 1929, when the riots broke out in the city, the afternoon sessions were disrupted. After the relaxation of the situation, attempts were no doubt made to revive the afternoon session; but these did not succeed. Finally, in February 1929, the afternoon sessions at Sewree were abandoned altogether. Since then, only ready business in cotton is being carried on at Sewree between 11 a.m. and 2 p.m.

After the closure of the afternoon session at Sewree, futures trading activity came to be restricted to Marwari Bazar alone. However, although the hedge contracts were then traded under the rules of the East India Cotton Association, trading was actually carried on in the premises of the Bombay Cotton Brokers' Association. The Wiles committee felt that the dual system of control was not conducive to the best interest of the cotton trade and therefore recommended that the EICA should take over complete control of all forward trading in cotton and provide a building of its own in the Bazar for this purpose as soon as possible.

On the passing of the Bombay Cotton Contract Act, 1932, the East India Cotton Association lost no time and acquired not only the property accommodating the then existing trading hall in the Marwari Bazar, but also two other adjoining properties within the angle formed by the junction of Sheikh Memon Street and Kalbadevi Road at an approximate cost of Rs.8 lakhs. The old structure on the site, the area of which measured a little over 100 sq.metres, were promptly pulled down to make way for the construction of a new Cotton Exchange Building.

The Bombay Municipal Act then prescribed a maximum building height of only 21.33 metres (70 feet). But, as luck would have it, since the East India Cotton Association lost by way of setback as much as slightly over 200 sq.meters of land for street widening, the Municipal Corporation granted permission for the erection of three additional stories, with setbacks from the front at the 5th floor level, thereby allowing the Association to construct a building with a height of 31.09 metres (102 feet) and with its twin towers to a height of 34.75 metres (114 feet).

The foundation stone of the new Cotton Exchange Building at Kalbadevi Road in the Marwari Bazar was laid on February 12, 1936 by Seth Anandilal Podar in the presence of a large representative gathering. Sir PurshotamdasThakurdas, the President of the East India Cotton Association presided on the occasion. The Exchange was ready for occupation by members on March 4, 1938, but was formally declared open on April 2, 1938. The trading hall was thrown open on August 31, 1938. The new Cotton Exchange building, for a long time the tallest in Bombay, consists of a basement, a trading hall (of approximately 15 metres x 10 metres) on the ground floor with two galleries and six upper floors. The top floor accommodates the administrative offices of the EICA. The 113 rooms on other floors are all occupied by the members of the Association. The building was erected at a total cost of about Rs.16 lakhs. Not surprisingly, in this impressive building, regarded by many as one of the finest cotton exchanges in the world, King Cotton found his new abode.

Till 1966, the trading hall of the Cotton Exchange was humming with intense futures trading activity. As Dom Moraes puts it, "Cotton retains it traditional importance to the city; and the feverish activity that goes on at the Cotton Exchange, situated a couple of miles north of Victoria Terminus, is the standing (some would say shouting) evidence". But alas, today all is quiet at the Cotton Exchange – thanks to the illconceived suspension of futures trading in cotton since 1966. The trading hall of the Cotton Exchange now houses a branch of one of the major nationalised banks. And with the mushroom growth of highrise buildings all over the city, the Cotton Exchange has lost its old glory. Still, the imposing Cotton Exchange continues to be an important landmark in the city of Bombay.

(To be continued)

(Area in lakh ha)

								(2170)	<i>i m takn na)</i>	
Sr. No.	States	Normal of Year	Normal Area as on Date (2010-	Area sown (during the corresponding week in)						
			2014)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1.	Andhra Pradesh		12.268	13.890	11.650	15.200	13.650	8.980	11.860	
	Andhra Pradesh	4.800	2.778	1.060	1.960	3.650	3.280	2.150	2.850	
	Telangana	15.240	9.490	12.830	9.690	11.550	10.370	6.830	9.010	
2.	Gujarat	26.140	14.430	23.000	10.190	24.570	13.390	7.702	16.300	
3.	Haryana	5.580	5.504	5.810	6.390	5.560	5.150	5.981	4.440	
4.	Karnataka	5.400	2.712	2.880	3.630	3.370	1.830	2.690	2.040	
5.	Madhya Pradesh	6.200	4.880	5.310	2.420	6.160	5.730	4.750	5.340	
6.	Maharashtra	39.800	26.924	33.271	11.840	36.340	34.740	17.510	34.190	
7.	Orissa	0.900	0.851	0.830	0.980	1.050	0.934	0.820	0.470	
8.	Punjab	5.100	5.210	4.500	4.500	5.050	5.160	5.750	5.590	
9.	Rajasthan	4.200	3.206	3.490	3.700	2.890	2.800	4.390	2.250	
10.	Tamil Nadu	1.300	0.137	0.030	0.430	0.030	0.055	0.100	0.070	
11.	Uttar Pradesh	0.000	0.248	0.210	0.260	0.230	0.300	0.220	0.230	
12.	Others	0.360	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.100	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	All India	115.020	76.390	93.221	55.990	100.550	83.739	58.893	82.780	

Update on Cotton Acreage (As on 16th July 2015)

Source: Directorate of Cotton Development, Nagpur

GROWTH IN CAPACITY OF COTTON / MAN- MADE FIBRE TEXTILE MILLS (NON SSI)

SPINNING 1566	COMPOSITE		CDINIDI EC	DOTODC							
1566		TOTAL	SPINDLES (Mn.)	ROTORS (000)	LOOMS (000)						
	223	1789	34.24	385	86						
1570	210	1780	34.14	395	73						
1608	200	1808	35.61	448	69						
1597	176	1773	35.01	461	56						
1653	177	1830	37.03	485	57						
1673	180	1853	37.68	494	57						
1757	183	1940	42.69	518	52						
1761	196	1957	43.31	523	52						
1771	198	1969	44.17	546	52						
1757	197	1954	44.47	553	51						
1776	200	1976	45.08	565	52						
31.03.2015 1776 200 1976 45.08 565 52 2013-14 (P)											
1765	197	1962	44.15	543	51						
1766	197	1963	44.17	543	51						
1768	197	1965	44.22	545	51						
1774	197	1971	44.59	555	51						
1759	197	1956	44.46	551	51						
1762	197	1959	44.49	553	51						
1759	199	1958	44.59	580	51						
1744	4 197 1941		44.32	576	51						
1748	197	1945	44.31	551	51						
1757	197	1954	44.47	553	51						
1757	197	1954	44.47	553	51						
1757	197	1954	44.47	553	51						
		2014-15 (P)									
1757	197	1954	44.47	553	51						
1757	197	1954	44.47	553	51						
1757	197	1954	44.48	553	51						
1761	198	1959 44.55		553	52						
1765	198	1963	44.61	557	52						
1770	198	1968	44.72	557	52						
1772	198	1970	44.73	558	52						
r 1773 198 1971		1971	44.75 561		52						
1772	200	1972	44.79	562	52						
1773	200	1973	44.81	562	52						
1774	200	1974	45.04	564	52						
1776	200	1976	45.08	565	52						
		2015-16 (P)									
1776	200	1976	45.09	565	52						
1776	200	1976	45.09	565	52						
	1597 1653 1673 1757 1761 1771 17757 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1765 1767 1759 1757 1775 <	15971761653177167318017571831761196177119817571971776200177619717651971766197176819717741971759197175919717571981770198177119817722001773200177420017752001776200177620017762001776200177620017762001776200	1597 176 1773 1653 177 1830 1673 180 1853 1757 183 1940 1761 196 1957 1771 198 1969 1775 197 1954 1776 200 1976 2013-14 (P) 1954 1776 200 1976 1776 197 1963 1765 197 1963 1766 197 1963 1768 197 1965 1774 197 1956 1762 197 1956 1762 197 1959 1759 197 1954 1757 197 1954 1757 197 1954 1757 197 1954 1757 197 1954 1757 197 1954 1757 197 1954 1757 <t< td=""><td>1597 176 1773 35.01 1653 177 1830 37.03 1673 180 1853 37.68 1757 183 1940 42.69 1761 196 1957 43.31 1771 198 1969 44.17 1757 197 1954 44.47 1776 200 1976 45.08 1765 197 1962 44.15 1766 197 1963 44.17 1768 197 1963 44.22 1774 197 1965 44.22 1774 197 1976 44.59 1759 197 1954 44.46 1762 197 1958 44.59 1757 197 1954 44.47 1757 197 1954 44.47 1757 197 1954 44.47 1757 197 1954 44.47</td><td>1597 176 1773 35.01 441 1653 177 1830 37.03 485 1673 180 1853 37.68 494 1757 183 1940 42.69 518 1761 196 1957 43.31 523 1771 198 1969 44.17 546 1757 197 1954 44.47 553 1776 200 1976 45.08 565 200 1976 44.15 543 1765 197 1962 44.15 543 1766 197 1963 44.17 543 1766 197 1965 44.22 545 1774 197 1956 44.42 553 1759 197 1958 44.45 553 1757 197 1954 44.47 553 1757 197 1954 44.47 553 <</td></t<>	1597 176 1773 35.01 1653 177 1830 37.03 1673 180 1853 37.68 1757 183 1940 42.69 1761 196 1957 43.31 1771 198 1969 44.17 1757 197 1954 44.47 1776 200 1976 45.08 1765 197 1962 44.15 1766 197 1963 44.17 1768 197 1963 44.22 1774 197 1965 44.22 1774 197 1976 44.59 1759 197 1954 44.46 1762 197 1958 44.59 1757 197 1954 44.47 1757 197 1954 44.47 1757 197 1954 44.47 1757 197 1954 44.47	1597 176 1773 35.01 441 1653 177 1830 37.03 485 1673 180 1853 37.68 494 1757 183 1940 42.69 518 1761 196 1957 43.31 523 1771 198 1969 44.17 546 1757 197 1954 44.47 553 1776 200 1976 45.08 565 200 1976 44.15 543 1765 197 1962 44.15 543 1766 197 1963 44.17 543 1766 197 1965 44.22 545 1774 197 1956 44.42 553 1759 197 1958 44.45 553 1757 197 1954 44.47 553 1757 197 1954 44.47 553 <						

(P) – PROVISIONAL

Source : Office of the Textile Commissioner



COTTON STATISTICS & NEWS ADVERTISEMENT RATES

effective from April 2014

RATES PER INSERTION

.

	For CAI Members	For Non-Members			
Full Page	Rs. 10,000	Rs. 11,000			
Half Page	Rs. 6,000	Rs. 6,500			

RATES FOR FOREIGN ADVERTISERS

Full Page	US \$ 200
Half Page	US \$ 125

cial Offer	For Members	Pay for eight insertions, get twelve (Full Page Rs.80,000 and Half Page Rs. 48,000/- for twelve insertions) Or Pay for three insertions, get four (Full Page Rs. 30,000/- and Half Page Rs.18,000/- for four insertions)					
	For Non-Members	Pay for eight insertions, get twelve (Full Page Rs.88,000/- and Half Page Rs.52,000/- for twelve insertions) Or Pay for three insertions, get four (Full Page Rs. 33,000/- and Half Page Rs.19,500/- for four insertions)					

Mechanical Data: Full page print area:	172x250 mm (Non Bleed Ad) 210x297 mm (+ Bleed)	To advertise, please contact: Shri Divyesh Thanawala, Assistant Manager Cotton Association of India,				
Half page print area :	172x125 mm (Non Bleed Ad) 148x210 mm (+ Bleed)	Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai – 400 033 Telephone No.: 3006 3404 Fax No.: 2370 0337 Email: publications@caionline.in				

				UPC	OUNTRY	SPOT F	RATES				(R	/Qtl)
Standard Descriptions with Basic Grade & Staple in Millimetres based on Upper Half Mean Length [By law 66 (A) (a) (4)]					Spot Rate (Upcountry) 2014-15 Crop JULY 2015							
Sr. No.	Growth	Grade Standard	Grade	Staple	Micronaire	Strength /GPT	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th
1	P/H/R	ICS-101	Fine	Below 22mm	5.0-7.0	15	9533 (33900)	9476 (33700)	9476 (33700)	9476 (33700)	9476 (33700)	9476 (33700)
2	P/H/R	ICS-201	Fine	Below 22mm	5.0-7.0	15	9673 (34400)	9617 (34200)	9617 (34200)	9617 (34200)	9617 (34200)	9617 (34200)
3	GUJ	ICS-102	Fine	22mm	4.0-6.0	20	7030 (25000)	7030 (25000)	7030 (25000)	7030 (25000)	7030 (25000)	7030 (25000)
4	KAR	ICS-103	Fine	23mm	4.0-5.5	21	7424 (26400)	7424 (26400)	7424 (26400)	7424 (26400)	7424 (26400)	7424 (26400)
5	M/M	ICS-104	Fine	24mm	4.0-5.0	23	8464 (30100)	8464 (30100)	8464 (30100)	8464 (30100)	8464 (30100)	8464 (30100)
6	P/H/R	ICS-202	Fine	26mm	3.5-4.9	26	9954 (35400)	9870 (35100)	9786 (34800)	9701 (34500)	9617 (34200)	9617 (34200)
7	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	26mm	3.0-3.4	25	8352 (29700)	8352 (29700)	8352 (29700)	8352 (29700)	8352 (29700)	8352 (29700)
8	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	26mm	3.5-4.9	25	8886 (31600)	8886 (31600)	8886 (31600)	8886 (31600)	8886 (31600)	8886 (31600)
9	P/H/R	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.5.4.9	26	10011 (35600)	9926 (35300)	9842 (35000)	9758 (34700)	9701 (34500)	9701 (34500)
10	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.0-3.4	26	8633 (30700)	8633 (30700)	8633 (30700)	8633 (30700)	8633 (30700)	8633 (30700)
11	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.5-4.9	26	9111 (32400)	9111 (32400)	9111 (32400)	9111 (32400)	9111 (32400)	9111 (32400)
12	P/H/R	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	10151 (36100)	10067 (35800)	9983 (35500)	9898 (35200)	9842 (35000)	9842 (35000)
13	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	9223 (32800)	9251 (32900)	9251 (32900)	9251 (32900)	9251 (32900)	9251 (32900)
14	GUJ	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	9448 (33600)	9476 (33700)	9505 (33800)	9533 (33900)	9533 (33900)	9533 (33900)
15	M/M/A/K	ICS-105	Fine	29mm	3.5-4.9	28	9364 (33300)	9392 (33400)	9392 (33400)	9392 (33400)	9392 (33400)	9392 (33400)
16	GUJ	ICS-105	Fine	29mm	3.5-4.9	28	9645 (34300)	9673 (34400)	9701 (34500)	9729 (34600)	9729 (34600)	9729 (34600)
17	M/M/A/K	ICS-105	Fine	30mm	3.5-4.9	29	9448 (33600)	9476 (33700)	9476 (33700)	9476 (33700)	9420 (33500)	9420 (33500)
18	M/M/A/K/T/O	ICS-105	Fine	31mm	3.5-4.9	30	9842 (35000)	9842 (35000)	9842 (35000)	9842 (35000)	9842 (35000)	9842 (35000)
19	A/K/T/O	ICS-106	Fine	32mm	3.5-4.9	31	10123 (36000)	10123 (36000)	10123 (36000)	10123 (36000)	10123 (36000)	10123 (36000)
20	M(P)/K/T	ICS-107	Fine	34mm	3.0-3.8	33	12288 (43700)	12288 (43700)	12288 (43700)	12288 (43700)	12288 (43700)	12288 (43700)

(Note: Figures in bracket indicate prices in Rs./Candy)