



Cotton

of India

### **COTTON STATISTICS & NEWS** Association Edited & Published by Amar Singh

2016-17 • No. 31 • 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2016 Published every Tuesday

Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green, Mumbai - 400 033 Phone: 30063400 Fax: 2370 0337 Email: cai@caionline.in www.caionline.in

# **Technical Analysis** Price outlook for Gujarat-ICS-105, 29mm and ICE cotton futures for the period 01/11/16 to 15/11/16

(The author is Director of Commtrendz Research and the views expressed in this column are his own and the author is not liable for any loss or damage, including without limitations, any profit or loss which may arise directly or indirectly from the use of following information.)

We will look into the Gujarat-ICS-105, 29mm prices along with other benchmarks and try to forecast price moves going forward.

As mentioned in the previous update, fundamental analysis involves studying and analysing various reports, data and based on that arriving at some possible direction for prices in the coming months or quarters.

Some of the recent fundamental drivers for the domestic cotton prices are:

> • Cotton futures are lower again

on weakness as the fresh arrival of crop has picked in the Northern states. The prices have increased in the last few months due to shortage of raw material at the end of season and good industry buying.

This year the scope for export of cotton to Pakistan will be restricted due to bilateral issues, as rising tensions between India and Pakistan have brought their \$822 million-a-year trade in cotton to a shuddering halt.

The CAI is totally opposed to creation of a buffer stock, because if implemented, this will take the country back to the pre-liberalised era of the late 1980s and early 1990s. The idea of creating a buffer stock for exclusive use by a certain sector is wrong as it not only distorts the market but also tends to unsettle other sectors of the cotton value chain.

China which had implemented a similar reserve policy and created a huge stockpile of cotton, suffered enormously and eventually decided to liquidate their stock. Their cotton economy is still

> reeling under the debacle that the cotton reserve caused to it. One must also remember that China is a huge cotton deficit country while India is a huge cotton surplus country and cotton is available to Indian mills at their doorstep.

> Some of the fundamental drivers for International cotton prices are:

> • Cotton futures fell on Tuesday to touch their lowest in a week on liquidation by speculators as good harvesting conditions prevailed in the United States. ICE Futures registered the biggest intraday percentage loss in

seven weeks, as index funds shifted long positions forward and as the U.S. dollar firmed.

• US harvest is accelerating along with India, China and other producing countries. The U.S. Department of Agriculture's weekly crop progress report released on Monday after the market closed, showed 49 percent of U.S. cotton crops were in goodto-excellent condition, up marginally from 48 percent a week ago.

 ICE cotton speculators cut their net long position by 1,878 contracts to 78,299 in the week leading upto Oct. 25, 2016, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission data showed.





Shri Gnanasekar Thiagarajan

#### 2 • 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2016

Let us now dwell on some technical factors that influence price movements.

As mentioned earlier, failure to hold support at 12,000/qtl has weakened the bullish picture; and eventually prices could edge lower to 11,300/qtl levels or even lower. The decline has the potential even to fall further to 10,000 /qtl levels. But, a possible pullback can be expected towards 11,200-300 /qtl levels before it declines to 10,000/qtl again.

Indicators are in an extremely oversold state, hinting at a possible pullback higher in the coming sessions. But despite the pullback, the trend has now turned weaker. As cautioned in the previous update, it looks like the upward trend is unlikely to materialise and more pressure on the downside is likely in the coming weeks. Any corrective upward movement could be short-lived and prices are expected to edge lower in the coming weeks.

We will also look at the ICE Cotton futures charts for a possible direction in international prices.

As mentioned in the previous update, an upward correction to 71-72c looks likely in the coming sessions and the view for the coming weeks expects prices to push higher again. However, if it does not follow-through higher from there, the rally could potentially fizzle out and edge lower to 63-64 levels or even lower on the downside. Prices are moving exactly in line with our expectations. As cautioned earlier, any unexpected fall below 69.45 would warn about attracting sellers, weakening the bullish picture. The present decline could stretch a little lower towards 64-65c levels. Resistance near 68.60/90 could cap the upticks. There is a fairly good chance for the price to start a minor recovery after falling to



the support level around 67.50-60c. It should be noted that a fall below 67.50c might strengthen the chances for further decline towards 65c levels. Only an unexpected rise above 68.95c could lessen the chances for the expected decline and allow some more recovery towards 69.20/35.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

Both the domestic and international prices got sold off as we hinted at a risk of a sell-off in the previous update. Only a rise above 72-73c could revive bullish hopes again. The international prices indicate extreme bearish signs now and any upticks could get stalled around the resistances mentioned above.

For Guj ICS supports are seen at 10,500/- qtl followed by 10,200/- qtl, and for ICE December cotton futures at 67.50 followed by 65c. The fall below 12,000/- qtl has weakened the bullish trend in the domestic markets. In the international markets prices are also hinting at further bearishness ahead. The international markets are now expected to push lower towards 65c or even lower and the domestic prices to edge lower around 10,000/- qtl levels.



## Natural Fibers with Particular Reference to Cotton

### M. Rafiq Chaudhry and Lorena Ruiz, ICAC

(The authors do not specialize in all natural fibers, so the facts and figures in the present article have been taken from many sources that are greatly acknowledged for their contributions to natural fibers) (Contd. from Issue No.29)

Throughout the history of their development, technological innovations have followed different courses. This is true for natural fibers (produced from living organisms) as well as for the chemical processes involved in the textile finishing

industry. One such recent technological innovation was the use of genes from non-Gossypium species to achieve targets within a very specific range of possibilities. In this case, the objective was not to change the nature of the fiber produced by the cotton plant, but to eliminate the obstructions that prevent growers from achieving cotton yields that are perfectly feasible. Transgenic cotton achieved by borrowing a gene from another naturally occurring living organism still produces a natural

fiber. The agronomic practices implemented over the last twenty years of commercialization of this technology have worked for the good of the economy and for the environmental solidarity of the natural fiber – cotton. Undoubtedly, both avenues have had a beneficial impact on the social wellbeing of the communities concerned. Farmers were able to reduce their unit cost of production and millions of marginal growers were able to continue producing cotton. Without the development and adoption of biotech cotton

it would be difficult to imagine what the pesticide industry would have had to bring on line to achieve similar results. The recovery of the cotton industry from various insecticide resistance management strategies would have been much slower and no one knows with any degree of certainty how many more countries might have become ensnared in the implications stemming from the use of insecticides—the most dangerous chemicals ever applied to produce

not only natural fibers, but food crops as well. The cotton production map of the world might have been changed and the share of natural fibers consumed at the end use level in the world would have declined even further than the cumulative loss of 28% recorded in 2014.

	Natural Fibers	Synthetic Fibers							
1.	Natural fibers have been used for centuries and are produced under natural conditions.	1.	Synthetic fibers were invented one by one over the last 140 years. The first synthetic fiber was developed in the early 1880s, but for use in light bulbs, not textiles. - Nylon was developed in 1931 - Polyester fiber in 1941 - Acrylic in 1951						
2.	The raw material required to produce natural fibers is also naturally occurring. Natural fibers can be produced without any synthetic materials, though synthetic materials, such as agrochemicals, may be used to boost production and improve quality.	2.	Synthetic fibers do not necessarily depend on naturally occurring materials; they depend mostly on chemical reactions with certain directed objectives. Selective chemical actions and reactions can be hazardous.						
3.	Natural fibers are more comfortable to wear but do not last as long as synthetic fibers. They do not develop an electrostatic charge and allergic eactions to wearing them are few or non- existent.	3.	Because of the chemicals involved in their production, synthetic fibers are more prone to heat damage and develop an electrostatic charge when they are rubbed together. Some people are allergic and simply cannot wear manmade fibers.						



4.	<ul> <li>Natural fibers have naturally occurring qualities. The four most important natural fibers are:</li> <li>Cotton. Soft, highly absorbent and able to take various treatments, including dyes.</li> <li>Wool. Warm and wrinkle resistant making it perfect for winter clothing.</li> <li>Silk. Lightweight, very sheer, highly flexible and capable of being spun at higher counts to provide an excellent luster. Silk is naturally antibacterial and energy efficient in ironing.</li> <li>Linen. Fabric produced from flax. Like cotton, it is a cellulosic fiber, but almost twice as strong and over 20 times longer.</li> <li>Wrinkling is a limitation, but blending with cotton for garments has potential and is on the increase.</li> </ul>	4.	All the qualities of synthetic fibers are expressed by design and can be manipulated better than in natural fibers where linkages and negative impacts are more pronounced. Synthetic fibers are comparatively easy to alter to comply with the qualities preferred by consumers. Changes and improvements are being introduced at a much faster rate than in natural fibers.
5.	Natural fibers are biodegradable and disappear back into nature for the improvement of the environment.	5.	Synthetic fibers are not biodegradable and those that are degradable do so at a much slower rate than natural fibers and may leave an impact on the environment.
6.	Natural fibers compete for land to the detriment of food crops. The competition is getting stronger as a result of population increases and climate change. The need to find better land may exert downward pressure on world cotton area in the future. Other natural fibers, of which jute and hard fibers are another major group, also compete with food crops in India, Bangladesh and China, the three most populated countries and almost the sole suppliers of jute in the world.	6.	<ul> <li>Synthetic fibers do not compete with food crops for land, but the two important limitations are:</li> <li>- Heavy initial investment involved in putting up manufacturing plants.</li> <li>- Very high energy requirements that limit production to areas with the required conditions.</li> </ul>
7.	Natural fibers may be staple (cotton) or filament (silk). The composition of natural fibers cannot be changed. Cotton is almost 96% cellulose, but scouring and bleaching can raise the concentration to 99%. The cellulose content cannot be lowered through breeding or any other natural process.	7.	Synthetic fibers are long filaments but can be cut for use as staple fibers. In most cases, the sources of raw materials used to make a particular synthetic fiber or composition can be adjusted. Acrylic, nylon and polyester can be made from oil and coal products.
8.	Most natural fibers can absorb water. Cotton is capable of absorbing over 25 times its weight in water.	8.	Synthetic fibers are hydrophobic and can retain only small amounts of moisture. Synthetic fibers dry faster than natural fibers and are easy to care for.

Cellulosic fibers can also be manufactured from naturally occurring materials like wood pulp, but they are not considered to be natural fibers. Manufactured cellulose or semi-synthetic fibers are made from plant materials that are ground into a pulp and then processed and formed by methods similar to those used in manufacturing synthetic fibers. The best examples of semi-synthetic or cellulosic fibers, wherein a natural raw material is used to make fibers, are rayon and viscose rayon. Rayon is made from regenerated cellulose, mostly acquired from purified wood pulp. The pulp is converted into a soluble cellulose compound and then processed to produce a chemically solidified filament. The end result of pulping, conversion into a soluble material and chemical solidification is a pure cellulosic fiber/filament, not a natural fiber. However, because of its origins in natural materials, rayon can be processed to have many of the same properties as natural fibers.

#### **Types of Natural Fibers**

Natural fibers are classified as vegetable fibers or plant fibers, animal fibers and mineral fibers.

#### **Plant Fibers**

#### • Seed Fibers

The sources of vegetable or plant fibers are seeds like cotton and kapok. Cotton is known for being the most important and dominant natural fiber in the world. Kapok (Ceiba pentandra) is a tree and the fibers produced by this perennial tree are also called kapok. Just as cotton fiber grows on the cotton seeds, kapok fibers grow on kapok seeds. Kapok is a rainforest tree that grows up to a height of sixty meters. A full-grown tree can yield up to 15kg of fiber a year. The trunk of the kapok tree is used as a source of timber, which is currently its main application. The fibers grow inside a pod that is naturally shed by the plant. When the pods are mature, they burst open and expose the whitish fibers in which the round, brown-colored seed is imbedded. Wind can carry the fibers around together with the seed. Most of the kapok fiber output is used in stuffing or insulation, but it has been replaced in these uses by polyester and foam plastics.

#### • Stem (Bast) Fibers

Bast fibers are collected from the inner bark or bast surrounding the stem of the plant. These fibers have higher tensile strength than other fibers. Therefore, these fibers are used for durable yarn, fabric, packaging and paper. Jute is the most important bast fiber; it accounts for almost 7% of all natural fibers produced and is second only to cotton. Other examples of bast fibers are flax, kenaf, hemp and ramie. Jute is produced in high rainfall areas, mostly in Bangladesh, China and India. According to the International Jute Study Group, jute (Corchorus capsularis and Corchorus olitorius), and similar fibers such as kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus) and roselle (H. sabdariffa var Altissima), are mostly produced in a handful of major producing countries: India, Bangladesh, China, Thailand, Myanmar and Nepal. Together, these account for about 95% of all jute and similar fibers. India and Bangladesh are the world's foremost manufacturers of jute. India alone represents almost two thirds of world jute production, Bangladeshaboutonethird and only minor amount are produced in other countries. China produces mostly kenaf while Thailand produces kenaf and roselle.

Source: Review of the World Situation - Volume 68 – Number 6 – July-August 2015, ICAC



### Production Of Man-Made Filament Yarn

(In Mn. k												
Month	Viscose Filament yarn	Polyester Filament yarn	Nylon Filament yarn	Poly propylene Filament yarn	Total							
2005-06	53.09	1075.82	36.84	13.58	1179.33							
2006-07	53.98	1270.83	32.25	13.41	1370.48							
2007-08	51.07	1420.14	27.62	10.51	1509.34							
2008-09	42.42	1332.09	28.07	15.08	1417.66							
2009-10	42.70	1434.88	30.35	14.79	1522.72							
2010-11	40.92	1462.28	33.46	13.14	1549.79							
2011-12	42.35	1379.52	27.95	13.19	1463.01							
2012-13	42.63	1288.15	22.91	17.18	1370.87							
2013-14	43.99	1212.43	24.09	12.91	1293.42							
2014-15	44.24	1158.20	32.55	12.77	1247.76							
2015-16	45.41	1068.80	37.26	12.66	1164.13							
2016-17 (P) (Apr-Aug.)	19.46	430.89	16.77	4.82	471.94							
2015-16												
April	3.80	95.97	3.22	1.09	104.08							
May	3.70	96.03	3.01	0.99	103.73							
June	3.69	82.80	2.69	0.95	90.13							
July	3.78	82.67	3.11	1.12	90.68							
August	3.81	86.94	2.96	1.13	94.84							
September	3.82	89.67	2.81	1.00	97.30							
October	3.83	89.49	3.17	1.00	97.49							
November	3.75	87.58	2.86	1.32	95.51							
December	3.82	90.60	3.29	0.91	98.62							
January	3.83	93.31	3.36	1.02	101.52							
February	3.78	86.91	3.32	1.10	95.11							
March	3.80	86.83	3.46	1.03	95.12							
		2016-	17 (P)									
April	3.78	84.08	3.29	0.96	92.11							
May	3.88	85.31	3.38	0.96	93.53							
June	3.90	85.09	3.27	0.95	93.21							
July	3.98	89.71	3.46	0.99	98.14							
August	3.92	86.70	3.37	0.96	94.95							

P - Provisional

Source : Office of the Textile Commissioner

### **COTTON EXCHANGE MARCHES AHEAD**

Madhoo Pavaskar, Rama Pavaskar

## **Chapter 1** The Cotton Scene

#### Day of Deliverance

For the East India Cotton Association (also known as the Cotton Exchange), the day of deliverance finally dawned on December 5, 1998. On the occasion of the Diamond Jubilee celebration of the Association, the Saga of the Cotton Exchange had crystal gazed that with the anticipated large supplies of fibres - natural and man-made - the stage may be set up before the onset of the next millennium for the revival of futures trading in cotton. Futures trading was the core activity of the Exchange since its establishment in 1921 till it was wrongfully deprived of the same by the suspension of such trading in 1966 by the government. Yet, following the sustained and unrelenting struggle launched by the Cotton Exchange during the last over three decades, and the strident efforts of the cotton scientists and toiling cotton farmers to usher in a revolution in

the productivity and production of the crop through the last two decades and a half, the then Union Finance Minister, Mr P. Chidambaram, in his budget speech in February 1997 eventually announced that the government had decided to revive futures trading in cotton. The winds of economic liberalization blowing through the country since the early 1990s and the subsequent recommendations of the Word Bank and the UNCTAD also prompted the government decision.

#### The East India Cotton Association

lost no time thereafter and, while celebrating its Platinum Jubilee, at long last began futures trading in cotton lint on December 5, 1998 at its old but newly refurbished trading hall in its imposing heritage building at Cotton Green in Sewree, rekindling the cherished memories of the hey-days of such trading during the twenties and thirties of the 20th century. It was victorious end of the silent war that the cottonmen fought for three successive decades. Verily, the transformation of the Indian cotton scene over the last two decades and a half provided the necessary impetus to this happy deliverance of King Cotton after awaiting patiently for almost a third of the 20th century.

#### A Quarter Century of Growth

Although India has been the cradle of the cotton industry and monopolised the world cotton scene in not only production of lint cotton, but also

spinning of yarn and weaving of fabrics for as many as ten millennia, it lost its pre-eminent position in the cotton world following the British conquest of the country and the development of this wonder fibre in the New World (U.S.A.) during the 19th century. Nevertheless, with the ideal agro-climatic conditions and long historical tradition, India remained a major and diverse producer of a wide spectrum of cotton varieties. Small wonder, even now India boasts of the largest (almost one fourth of ) area under cotton in the world, but ranks third in the lint output with nearly 15 percent of the world production at the end of the second millennium in the year A.D. 2000.

In fact, two decades and a half back India's share in the world cotton production was just about 10 percent. Although after independence the cotton production in the country increased from 4 million

bales (of 170 kg each) in 1951-52 to 7.4 million bales in 1971-72, representing a compound growth of 3.2 percent per annum, the crop virtually stagnated through the seventies. In 1977-78 production had actually dwindled to 7.2 million bales. But the last over 20 years witnessed a sea-change in cotton cultivation in the country. Cotton production rose spectacularly to reach an all-time record of 17.8 million bales in 1996-97, before sliding slightly to 15.8 million in 2001-02, which still registered an annual average growth of 4 percent through the past quarter century. All through this period, the cotton acreage mostly hovered

around 7.5 million hectares. Only during the last five years from 1996-97 to 2001-02, it has expanded and averaged around 9 million hectares.

Besides the modest expansion in area in recent years (which seems to have been prompted largely by higher relative prices of cotton, inducing some shift in acreage towards it from the competing crops), two factors appear to have boosted the cotton production during the last two decades and a half. First and foremost, the irrigated area under cotton increased from just one-fourth of the total cotton acreage to nearly 40 percent over this period. Secondly, whereas two decades and a half earlier barely 8 percent of the cultivated area under cotton was covered by hybrids, in the recent years a little over one-third of the total cotton acreage is sown with high yielding hybrid seeds. Not surprisingly,



the average cotton lint yield nearly doubled over the period – from 156 kg. per hectare in 1977-78 to 322 kg. in 1996-97, before slipping a shade lower to 303 kg. in 2001-02, disclosing a compound growth of 3 percent per annum over the past quarter century, compared to the meagre growth of 1 percent in the area over the same period. The reduction in the yield average at the close of the second millennium was mostly brought about by the unusually low yields in the northern States of Punjab, Haryana and Rajasthan due to the unexpected pest and virus attack, which had its origin in the neighbouring Pakistan.

Nevertheless, unlike soon after independence when acreage expansion bolstered up the growth in cotton output, productivity improvement characterised the rise in cotton production during the eighties and nineties. True, the average cotton yield in India is still probably the lowest in the world, with the exception of yields in a few African countries like Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Mozambique, Chad, etc. Nevertheless, the slow but sustained growth in cotton productivity through the last quarter of a century appears to augur well for the future of King Cotton in the early decades of the new millennium, despite the possible competition from cotton imports. Technology Mission on Cotton launched in A.D. 2000 may be expected to provide the necessary helping hand to improve both cotton productivity and production in the country.

#### **Rise in Demand**

Incidentally, there is no denying that cotton production rose in response to demand. The number of textile mills in the county in the organised sector increased from 634 in 1977 to as many as 1850 in 2000. In the organised mill industry, the growth was concentrated entirely in the spinning sector, the number of composite mills having remained virtually stagnant below 300. In contrast, the number of spinning units rose over four-fold, from about 350 to 1565. In addition, at the turn of the last millennium, there were 921 spinning mills in the small scale sector and 202 exclusive weaving mills. Since, many new spinning mills were small in size, the growth in spindles installed was not commensurate with the increase in the number of mills. The total spindles installed increased from 19.65 million to 37.08 million since 1977 till 2000. After 1989 quite a few spinners began to install high speed rotors as well. Their number was 4,40,000 in the year 2000. The utilisation of spinning capacity also rose significantly to 83 percent at the turn of the last century from barely 70 percent a quarter century before. Consequently, the mill consumption of cotton too doubled from 7.7 million bales in 1976-77 to a little over 15 million in 1999-2000.

The rise in the mill consumption of cotton brought forth a corresponding rise in the cotton yarn

production, which also more than doubled from a little less than 1000 million kg. to slightly over 2200 million kg. between 1976-77 and 1999-2000. The production of cotton cloth by all the sectors of the textile industry (organised as well as unorganised) too expanded pari passu to almost 19,000 million sq. metres in 1999-2000, from just about 8000 million sq. metres a quarter century earlier. In consequence, notwithstanding the explosion in India's population from 650 million to a little over 1000 million over the past two decades and a half, the per caput availability of cotton cloth reached 14.29 sq. metres in 1999-2000, compared to barely 10 sq. metres in the mid-seventies. Actually cotton cloth availability had touched a length of 16.3 sq. metres in 1995-96, but shrunk slightly thereafter owing to the stagnancy, if not slump in the cotton production towards the end of the last century.

Yet differing from the first three decades of Indian independence, which withnessed a disturbing and rather distressing fall in the per caput availability of cloth, the last two decades and a half saw a steady expansion in the cloth availability. Thanks to King Cotton and Prince Charming of man-made fibres and yarns, the country has began to clothe in right earnest its ill-clad poor, besides clothing its rich and the elite.

#### Loss of Status

Even though King Cotton has grown in size and stature, he has lost somewhat in his status in the world of textiles. With the rapid growth of the man-made fibre and filament yarn industry, notably polyester fibre and yarn, and liberal imports of mostly polyester and acrylic fibres, King Cotton has perforce yielded to Prince Charming in the race for acquiring a larger share in the growing Kingdom of Textiles. Over the past 25 years , the share of cotton in the production of textile fabrics in India has shrunk from 80 percent to 60 percent, although in absolute terms the cotton cloth output has expanded two and half times.

The growth of man-made fibre and filament yarn industry has led to a striking increase in the per caput availability of man-made fabric to 16 sq. meters a year from barely 2 sq. metres in the mid 1970's raising the aggregate availability of cloth from all fibres to as much as 30 sq. metres at the end of the last millennium from barely 15 sq. metres a quarter century earlier. The multi-fibre policy introduced in the mid-seventies has, no dout, helped to clothe the vast mass of Indian populace. Hence, King Cotton need not grudge the entry of Prince Charming in the textile kingdom of India. Nonetheless, while in the rest of the world the share of cotton has dropped to much less than half, King Cotton continues to occupy a pride of place in the realm of Indian textiles with his premier position amongst the fibre fabrics available in the country.

intal)	M(P)/K/T ICS-107 Fine 3.0-3.8 33	15325	15325	15466	15607	15607	15607	15607	15325		15185	15185	15185	15325	15325	15185	15185	15129	15129	15129	14904	14904	14763	14763	14763	14791		15607	14763	15197	
(₹\Qu	)A/K/T/O N ICS-106 Fine 3.5-4.9 31	13216	13357	13357	13357	13357	13357	13273	12541		12120	11979	12007	12148	12120	12120	12063	12007	11810	11698	11107	11107	11164	11164	11164	11192		13357	11107	12199	
	YM/A/K/T/ ICS-105 Fine 31 mm 3.5-4.9 30	12935	13076	13076	13076	13076	13076	12991	12429	÷	12007	11867	11726	11867	11838	11838	11782	11726	11529	11417	10882	10882	10939	10939	10939	10967	:	13076	10882	11953	
	M/M/A/K M ICS-105 Fine 30 mm 3.5-4.9 29	12654	12795	12795	12795	12795	12795	12710	12260		11838	11698	11557	11670	11642	11642	11585	11529	11304	11192	10742	10742	10770	10770	10770	10798		12795	10742	11744	
	GUJ ICS-105 Fine 29 mm 3.5-4.9 28	12373	12513	12513	12513	12513	12513	12429	12007		11726	11585	11614	11698	11614	11614	11529	11445	11220	11107	10601	10601	10629	10629	10629	10657		12513	10601	11595	
	M/M/A/K ICS-105 Fine 29 mm 3.5-4.9 28	12513	12654	12654	12654	12654	12654	12570	12120	:	11698	11557	11417	11501	11473	11473	11417	11332	11107	10995	10601	10601	10629	10629	10629	10657	·	12654	10601	11591	
	GUJ ICS-105 Fine 3.5-4.9 27	12232	12373	12373	12373	12373	12373	12288	11867		11585	11445	11501	11585	11501	11501	11445	11360	11135	11023	10461	10461	10489	10489	10489	10517		12373	10461	11468	<b>10968 11451 11468 1</b> 7 <i>verage</i>
	M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 28 mm 3.5-4.9 27	12373	12513	12513	12513	12513	12513	12429	11979	:	11557	11417	11276	11360	11332	11332	11276	11192	10967	10854	10461	10461	10489	10489	10489	10517	÷	12513	10461	11451	
ES	P/H/R ICS-105 Fine 28 mm 3.5-4.9 27	11670	11867	11867	11782	11585	11360	11164	10882		10770	10657	10714	10882	10798	10882	10911	10826	10742	10657	10320	10432	10573	10657	10573	10657		11867	10320	10968	
r rat	AM/M/A M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 3.5-4.9 26	11951	12092	12092	12092	12092	12092	12007	11698		11417	11276	11276	11276	11248	11248	11192	11051	10911	10798	10348	10348	10376	10376	10376	10404		12092	10348	11252	$A = A_{\overline{0}}$
Y SPO <sup>T</sup> ber 201	-17 Crof M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 27 mm 3.0-3.4 26	11389	11529	11529	11529	11529	11529	11445	11135	DAY	10854	10714	10714	10714	10714	10714	10657	10573	10432	10320	10151	10151	10151	10151	10151	10151	ľDAY	11529	10151	10789	= Lowest
UNTR'	2016 P/H/R ICS-105 Fine 27 mm 3.5-4.9 26	11585	11782	11782	11698	11501	11276	11079	10798	HOL	10686	10573	10601	10770	10686	10770	10798	10714	10629	10545	10208	10320	10461	10545	10461	10545	HOL	11782	10208	10867	est L =
UPCO	M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 3.5-4.9 25	11670	11810	11810	11810	11810	11810	11726	11417		11135	10995	10995	10995	10995	10995	10995	10854	10714	10629	10236	10236	10264	10264	10264	10292		11810	10236	11030	H = High
	M/M/A ICS-105 Fine 26 mm 3.0-3.4 25	11248	11389	11389	11389	11389	11389	11304	10854		10573	10432	10432	10432	10432	10432	10432	10348	10208	10123	10039	10039	10039	10039	10039	10039		11389	10039	10601	H
	P/H/R ICS-202 Fine 3.5-4.9 26 mm 3.5-4.9	11417	11614	11614	11529	11332	11107	10911	10629	:	10517	10404	10432	10601	10517	10601	10629	10545	10461	10376	10039	10151	10292	10376	10292	10376	:	11614	10039	10698	
	M/M ICS-104 Fine 4.0-5.5 23	10123	10264	10264	10264	10264	10264	10264	10264		10264	10264	10264	10320	10264	10264	10264	10264	10179	10179	10039	9954	9954	9954	9954	9983		10320	9954	10181	
	KAR ICS-103 Fine 23 mm 4.0-5.5 21	8970	9111	9111	9111	9111	9111	9111	9111	:	9111	9111	9111	9167	9111	9111	9111	9111	9026	9026	8914	8858	8858	8858	8858	8886	÷	9167	8858	9041	
	GUJ ICS-102 Fine 22 mm 4.0-6.0 20	7227	7367	7452	7452	7452	7452	7452	7452		7452	7452	7452	7508	7508	7508	7508	7508	7424	7424	7311	7255	7255	7255	7255	7255		7508	7227	7402	
	P/H/R ICS-201 Fine 5.0-7.0 15	8267	8267	8267	8267	8127	7986	7986	7845	:	7930	7930	7986	8042	8183	8183	8267	8267	8267	8267	7874	7845	7958	7874	7986	7986	:	8267	7845	8077	
	P/H/R ICS-101 Fine 5.0-7.0 15	8127	8127	8127	8127	7986	7845	7845	7564		7649	7649	7705	7761	7902	7902	7986	7986	7986	7986	7817	7733	7733	7649	7705	7705		8127	7564	7858	
	Growth G. Standard Grade Staple Micronaire Strength/GPT	1	Э	4	Ŋ	6	7	∞	10	11	12	13	14	15	17	18	19	20	21	22	24	25	26	27	28	29	31	Н	L	A	



Ms. Sudha B. Padia

Cotton Association of India, Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai – 400 033 Telephone No.: 3006 3405 Fax No.: 2370 0337 Email: publications@caionline.in

UPCOUNTRY SPOT RATES (Rs./Qt													
	Standard in Millime	Descriptio etres basec [ By lav	ons with 1 on Upp w 66 (A)	Spot Rate (Upcountry) 2016-17 Crop OCTOBER 2016									
Sr. No.	Growth	Grade Standard	Grade	Staple	Micronaire	Strength /GPT	24th	25th	26th	27th	28th	29th	
1	P/H/R	ICS-101	Fine	Below 22mm	5.0-7.0	15	7817 (27800)	7733 (27500)	7733 (27500)	7649 (27200)	7705 (27400)	7705 (27400)	
2	P/H/R	ICS-201	Fine	Below 22mm	5.0-7.0	15	7874 (28000)	7845 (27900)	7958 (28300)	7874 (28000)	7986 (28400)	7986 (28400)	
3	GUJ	ICS-102	Fine	22mm	4.0-6.0	20	7311 (26000)	7255 (25800)	7255 (25800)	7255 (25800)	7255 (25800)	7255 (25800)	
4	KAR	ICS-103	Fine	23mm	4.0-5.5	21	8914 (31700)	8858 (31500)	8858 (31500)	8858 (31500)	8858 (31500)	8886 (31600)	
5	M/M	ICS-104	Fine	24mm	4.0-5.0	23	10039 (35700)	9954 (35400)	9954 (35400)	9954 (35400)	9954 (35400)	9983 (35500)	
6	P/H/R	ICS-202	Fine	26mm	3.5-4.9	26	10039 (35700)	10151 (36100)	10292 (36600)	10376 (36900)	10292 (36600)	10376 (36900)	
7	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	26mm	3.0-3.4	25	10039 (35700)	10039 (35700)	10039 (35700)	10039 (35700)	10039 (35700)	10039 (35700)	
8	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	26mm	3.5-4.9	25	10236 (36400)	10236 (36400)	10264 (36500)	10264 (36500)	10264 (36500)	10292 (36600)	
9	P/H/R	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.5.4.9	26	10208 (36300)	10320 (36700)	10461 (37200)	10545 (37500)	10461 (37200)	10545 (37500)	
10	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.0-3.4	26	10151 (36100)	10151 (36100)	10151 (36100)	10151 (36100)	10151 (36100)	10151 (36100)	
11	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	27mm	3.5-4.9	26	10348 (36800)	10348 (36800)	10376 (36900)	10376 (36900)	10376 (36900)	10404 (37000)	
12	P/H/R	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	10320 (36700)	10432 (37100)	10573 (37600)	10657 (37900)	10573 (37600)	10657 (37900)	
13	M/M/A	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	10461 (37200)	10461 (37200)	10489 (37300)	10489 (37300)	10489 (37300)	10517 (37400)	
14	GUJ	ICS-105	Fine	28mm	3.5-4.9	27	10461 (37200)	10461 (37200)	10489 (37300)	10489 (37300)	10489 (37300)	10517 (37400)	
15	M/M/A/K	ICS-105	Fine	29mm	3.5-4.9	28	10601 (37700)	10601 (37700)	10629 (37800)	10629 (37800)	10629 (37800)	10657 (37900)	
16	GUJ	ICS-105	Fine	29mm	3.5-4.9	28	10601 (37700)	10601 (37700)	10629 (37800)	10629 (37800)	10629 (37800)	10657 (37900)	
17	M/M/A/K	ICS-105	Fine	30mm	3.5-4.9	29	10742 (38200)	10742 (38200)	10770 (38300)	10770 (38300)	10770 (38300)	10798 (38400)	
18	M/M/A/K/T/O	ICS-105	Fine	31mm	3.5-4.9	30	10882 (38700)	10882 (38700)	10939 (38900)	10939 (38900)	10939 (38900)	10967 (39000)	
19	A/K/T/O	ICS-106	Fine	32mm	3.5-4.9	31	11107 (39500)	11107 (39500)	11164 (39700)	11164 (39700)	11164 (39700)	11192 (39800)	
20	M(P)/K/T	ICS-107	Fine	34mm	3.0-3.8	33	14904 (53000)	14904 (53000)	14763 (52500)	14763 (52500)	14763 (52500)	14791 (52600)	

(Note: Figures in bracket indicate prices in Rs./Candy)