

Cotton Productivity Improvement in India -Technology Targeting at District Level Based on Efficiency



Dr. Y. G. Prasad Director, ICAR-CICR

Dr. Y.G. Prasad is currently working as Director, ICAR-CICR, Nagpur. He earned his PhD in Entomology from the ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. He has worked extensively on microbial biopesticides, IPM, bio-ecology of invasive cotton mealybug and contributed significantly to pest forecast research, pest surveillance, decision support systems, climate resilience and agricultural extension.

Column's



Dr. M. V. Venugopalan Principal Scientist and Head, PME Cell, ICAR-CICR, Nagpur

Dr. M. V. Venugopalan holds a Ph D in Agronomy. Currently he is working as a Principal Scientist (Agronomy) and Head, Priority Setting, Monitoring and Evaluation Cell at the ICAR-CICR, Nagpur, India. He specialises in cotton crop simulation modeling, participatory and perspective land use planning, carbon sequestration and high density sustainable cotton planting systems.



Dr. A. R. Reddy Principal Scientist, ICAR- CICR, Nagpur

Dr. A.R. Reddy holds a PhD Agricultural Economics. in Currently, he is working as a Principal Scientist in Agricultural Economics at the ICAR- CICR, Nagpur, India. His fields of specialisation are impact analysis of agricultural total technologies, factor productivity of cotton, costs, returns and constraint analysis in cotton cultivation.

Cotton is one of the important commercial crops in India that is cultivated in an area of about 130.0 lakh ha with a production of 353.8 lakh bales and a productivity of 463 kg lint/ha (2020-21). Until 2008-09, cotton area in the country was less than 100 lakh ha. The increase in area thereafter, is mainly at the cost of kharif food crops. As per projections of FAO, India needs to produce about 500 and 550 lakh bales of cotton by 2030 and 2050 respectively, to meet the growing demand. Assuming that the current level of productivity continues, this production target may require an additional 50 lakh ha area to be brought under cotton, which is most unlikely. The only other option is to improve productivity of cotton. For this purpose, priority districts for cotton need to be identified and technologies should be dovetailed for implementation to achieve targeted production. Similarly, cotton area in inefficient districts can be diversified to other remunerative crops.

Grouping of Districts Based on Efficiency Criteria

Relative Yield Index (RYI) and Relative Spread Index (RSI) were used to classify and group cotton growing districts of India into Most Efficient, Efficient, Less Efficient and Inefficient districts. The formulae used to derive RYI and RSI are given below.

 $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} Percentage \ of \ cotton \ area \ to \\ \end{array} \\ \textbf{Relative Spread Index (RSI)} = & \frac{total \ cultivable \ area \ of \ the \ district}{Percentage \ of \ cotton \ area \ to \\ total \ cultivable \ area \ of \ the \ country \end{array}} \times 100 \\ \textbf{Relative Yield Index (RYI)} = & \frac{Mean \ yield \ of \ cotton \ in \ a \ district}{Mean \ yield \ of \ cotton \ in \ the \ country}} \times 100 \end{array}$

The district level data on area and yield of cotton was obtained from the website of Directorate of Economics & Statistics (DES), Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India (https://eands.dacnet.nic.in) for the period 201617 to 2018-19 (latest available). Three year average values were taken for calculating the RYI and RSI. Based on these values cotton growing districts were classified into four groups as per the criteria given below:

Table 1. Criteria for classification and grouping of cotton growing districts based on yield and area spread

| Group | Relative Yield Index (RYI) | Relative Spread Index (RSI) | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Most Efficient | High (>100) | High (>100) | | | |
| Efficient | High (>100) | Low (<100) | | | |
| Less Efficient | Low (<100) | High (>100) | | | |
| Inefficient | Low (<100) | Low (<100) | | | |

Classification of Cotton Growing Districts into Efficiency Groups:

There are 157 districts in the country which have an area of more than 5000 ha under cotton. These districts together cover about 95% of the cotton area in the country. Based on RYI and RSI, these 157 districts were classified into four groups i.e., Most Efficient, Efficient, Less Efficient and Inefficient (Table 2). The group of most efficient districts covers 16.17% of the cotton area in the country which is spread across 26 cotton growing districts. Cotton yield in this group ranges from 501 to 848 kg lint / ha with an average of 624 kg lint/ha. The Efficient group includes 16 districts which covers an area of 2.83% of cotton area in the country. Cotton yield in this group ranges from 512–711kg lint / ha with an

| Category | No. of districts | Area (ha) | % area | Meanlint Yield (Kg/ha) | Yield Range (kg lint/ha) | |
|----------------|------------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Most efficient | 26 | 1935089 | 16.17 | 624 | (501-848) | |
| Efficient | 16 | 338417 | 2.83 | 628 | (512-711) | |
| Less efficient | 75 | 9043627 | 75.55 | 351 | (145-497) | |
| Inefficient | 40 | 652896 | 5.45 | 334 | (118-496) | |
| Total | 157 | 11970029 | 100.00 | 402 | (118-848) | |

Table 2. Distribution of cotton growing districts

average of 628 kg lint/ha. Less Efficient group is the largest and it covers 75.55% cotton area in the country which is spread across 75 cotton growing districts in different states. Cotton yield in this group ranges from 145 to 497 kg lint / ha with an average of 351kg lint/ha. Inefficient group covers index (RSI). Most of the districts in this group have deep to very deep fertile soils with irrigation facilities or assured rainfall. The major challenge in these districts is to sustain and further increase the productivity levels by enhancing input use efficiency. Technology options/interventions that

| S.No | State | Districts |
|------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | Guntur & Krishna |
| 2 | Gujarat | Aravalli, Chhotaudepur, Devbhumi Dwarka, Gandhinagar, Jamnagar, Mahesana, Porbandar, Sabar Kantha, Vadodara |
| 3 | Karnataka | Yadgir |
| 4 | Maharashtra | Amravati |
| 5 | Punjab | Bathinda, Fazilka, Mansa, Muktsar |
| 6 | Rajasthan | Ganganagar, Hanumangarh |
| 7 | Telangana | Bhadradri, Karimnagar, Khammam, Mancherial, Medak, Peddapalli, Rajanna |

Table 3. Most efficient districts for cotton cultivation

5.45% cotton area in the country which is spread in 40 cotton growing districts. Cotton yield in this group ranges from 118 to 496 kg lint / ha with an average of 334 kg/ha.

Most Efficient Districts for Cotton Cultivation

This group is spread in 26 cotton growing districts in the states of Gujarat (27.65%), Telangana (17.27%), Rajasthan (15.24%), Punjab (13.95%), Andhra Pradesh (10.94%), Maharashtra (10.63%) and Karnataka (4.31%) (Table 3). This group is characterised with high relative yield index (RYI) coupled with high relative spread

can be promoted in the most efficient districts include medium duration hybrids to facilitate double cropping, minimising tillage, crop residue management for better soil health, mechanization to reduce cost of cultivation, drip cum mulching technique for higher water productivity and commensurate returns on investment.

Efficient Districts for Cotton Cultivation

Districts with high RYI and low RSI come under this group. There are 16 districts in this group occupying relatively less area (1.84% of total cotton area) (Table 4). Despite high productivity of cotton in these districts, the area under cotton is

| S.No | State | Districts |
|------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | Srikakulam |
| 2 | Gujarat | Banas kantha, Gir, Somnath, Kachchh, Kheda, Panch mahals, Tapi |
| 3 | Karnataka | Gulberga |
| 4 | Maharashtra | Gadchiroli |
| 5 | Punjab | Sangrur |
| 6 | Rajasthan | Ajmer, Bhilwara, Chittorgarh, Jhunjhunu, Jodhpur, Pali |

Table 4. Efficient cotton growing districts in India

| S.No | State | Districts |
|------|----------------|--|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | Kurnool |
| 2 | Gujarat | Ahmadabad, Amreli, Bharuch, Bhavnagar, Botad, Junagadh, Morbi, Narmada, Patan, Rajkot, Surendranagar |
| 3 | Haryana | Bhiwani, Charkidadri, Fatehabad, Hisar, Jind, Mahendragarh, Palwal, Rohtak, Sirsa |
| 4 | Karnataka | Bellary, Dharwad, Gadag, Haveri, Mysore, Raichur |
| 5 | Madhya Pradesh | Barwani, Burhanpur, Dhar, Khandwa, Khargone |
| 6 | Maharashtra | Ahmednagar, Akola, Aurangabad, Beed, Buldhana, Chandrapur, Dhule, Hingoli, Jalgaon, Jalna, Nagpur, Nanded, Nandurbar, Parbhani, Wardha, Yavatmal |
| 7 | Odisha | Bolangir, Kalahandi, Rayagada |
| 8 | Tamil Nadu | Perambalur, Virudhunagar |
| 9 | Telangana | Adilabad, Jagitial, Jangoan, Jayashankar, Jogulamba, Kamareddy, KomaramBheem, Asifabad, Mahabubabad, Mahbubnagar, Mulugu, Nagarkurnool, Nalgonda, Narayanapet, Nirmal, Rangareddi, Sangareddy, Siddipet, Suryapet, Vikarabad, Warangal, Warangal urban, Yadadri |

Table 5. Less efficient cotton growing districts in India

less. Districts in this group also have better agroclimatic conditions suitable for cotton production as indicated by the higher relative yield index. There may be adverse factors or competing crops which are limiting the cotton area in these districts. Priority should be given to these districts for any potential area expansion under cotton.

Less Efficient Districts for Cotton Cultivation:

This group occupies the highest cotton area (75.55%) of the country. There are 75 districts which fall in this group which have high RSI and low RYI. These are the districts which need to be given highest priority, in terms of research and infrastructure development for improving the

cotton yields. A large proportion (43.71%) of this group is in Maharashtra followed by Gujarat (20.72) and Telangana (15.62). Yield level is low ranging from1 45 to 497 kg lint /ha with an average of 351 kg lint /ha. Even a small improvement in yield level will translate into a significant improvement in the national average. An improvement of yield by 20% in these districts will add about 55 kg lint/ ha to the national average.

Cotton in this group of districts is cultivated on shallow to medium deep soils, predominantly under rainfed conditions. In most of these districts less than 30% area is irrigated. Technological options for these districts include short duration cotton varieties/hybrids under high density planting system (HDPS), timely sowing with

| S.No | State | Districts |
|------|----------------|---|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | Anantapur, East Godavari, Kadapa, Prakasam, SPSR Nellore, Vizianagaram |
| 2 | Gujarat | Mahisagar |
| 3 | Haryana | Jhajjar, Kaithal, Rewari |
| 4 | Karnataka | Belgaum, Bijapur, Chamarajanagar, Chitradurga, Davangere, Koppal |
| 5 | Madhya Pradesh | Alirajpur, Chhindwara, Dewas, Jhabua, Ratlam |
| 6 | Maharashtra | Nashik, Osmanabad, Washim |
| 7 | Odisha | Nuapada |
| 8 | Rajasthan | Alwar, Banswara, Bharatpur, Nagaur |
| 9 | Tamil Nadu | Ariyalur, Cuddalore, Dharmapuri, Dindigul, Madurai, Salem, Thiruvarur, Tiruchirappalli, Tuticorin, Vellore, Villupuram |

Table 6. Inefficient cotton growing districts in India

pneumatic planters, avoiding mono cropping and improving soil health through crop rotation preferably with legumes, higher system returns with inter cropping, residue management of cotton stalks, drip cum mulching technique for higher cotton productivity in irrigated pockets.

Inefficient Districts for Cotton Cultivation:

This group is characterised with low RYI and low RSI. There are 40 districts in this group contributing 5.45 % of cotton growing area of the country. Average cotton yield in this group of districts is 334kg lint / ha. This group is spread out in all cotton growing states except Punjab and Telangana. Cotton production in these districts is not sustainable due to lower yield and the reasons could be biophysical and socioeconomic limitations. Cotton area in each district is also comparatively low; hence there is scope for crop diversification to remunerative crops and intercropping systems.

The Way Forward:

The above analysis clearly indicates that there are large variations in the cotton production

scenario. This study is based on an analysis of secondary data. However, there is a need to conduct a Landscape Diagnostic Survey to obtain primary data based evidence on cotton production practices in representative districts in each of these groups of districts and analyse the relationship between the performance of the cotton production system in terms of yield, net returns and the cotton production practices in an agro-ecological context. This evidence will enable targeting of technologies tailored to the bio-physical endowments at the district level. There is also a need for product (variety/hybrid) and technology profiling based on the agro-ecological conditions and socioeconomic profile for higher adoption and yield realisation. Appropriate strategies can then be dovetailed on a large scale along with the needed policy support.

(The views expressed in this column are of the author and not that of Cotton Association of India)

US\$INR Monthly Report: February 2022

Shri. Anil Kumar Bhansali, Head of Treasury, Finrex Treasury Advisors LLP, has a rich experience of Banking and Foreign Exchange for the past 36 years. He was a Chief Dealer with an associate bank of SBI

US\$INR witnessed strong buying from 73.80 levels in January courtesy central bank Shri. Anil Kumar Bhansali substantial inflows of \$7-\$10 bn. buying. Also, the recent multi year highs oil prices led to some buying by oil companies as well

as Importers. In February the major trigger for the pair will be the trends in oil prices as well as RBI Policy meeting. Stronger oil prices could see the central bank becoming hawkish and we may soon see some talk on higher interest rates to tackle the inflation. Also, the FII selling will be keenly watched as they have almost sold \$9bn in last four months. We are of the view that US\$INR is likely to see the range of 74.25 -76.0 for the month of February 2022.

Following will be the key triggers for USDINR in the month of February:-

* Union Budget Presentation: Domestic Union Budget will be one of the key triggers in near term. Investors will look at Budget's specific numbers like Government borrowing, Capex plans, Disinvestment targets as well as the level of crude oil taken into consideration for fiscal math.

* Hawkish Federal Reserve: Federal Reserve in its Jan monetary policy finally indicated at an interest rate hike in March 2022 along with possibility of at least further three-rate hikes in 2022. Also the Fed has indicated that it will not only end the tapering by March 2022 but also soon begin balance sheet normalisation which current stands at \$8.9 trillion. These actions are going to continue to support the US\$ amidst widening monetary divergence between the Fed and other banks.





Head of Treasury, Finrex Treasury Advisors LLP

* FII Selling Pressure: The selling by FII was continued in January 2022 as well. Post a bigger selling of almost \$3bn in December, we witnessed a further sell off of almost \$3.2bn in India assets. With the rising interest rate scenario coupled with the stimulus pullback at full steam we may see tepid flows in near term. However, the onset of LIC IPO flows could trigger

* Brent oil prices: Brent oil prices is already at almost multi

year high and at the highest level since October 2014. Oil prices continue to move northwards and targets remain higher at \$95/bl and \$102/ bl. If Opec+ producers don't ramp up oil supplies and continue with the gradual increase of 400000bpd, then we may see further increase in oil prices. Historically oil prices above \$80/ bl have continued to put pressure on the Rupee.

* Upbeat US\$ Index : Dollar index witnessed a pullback from its key support of 94.5 and is back at 96.80 levels. Dollar index is supported by a hawkish Fed as well as overall risk off sentiment. US\$ index has moved from the lows of 94.5 to the current 96.80 levels and is on the verge of breaking 97.0 levels which will be the highest level since July 2020.

* RBI's Forex Strategy: RBI remains an important participant in Forex market. Currently it has almost Forex reserves of \$635 bn. Hence any surge in US\$INR could also see the Central Bank selling US\$ at higher rates. Also on the flip side, the central bank may arrest sharp rupee appreciation as it is likely to hurt export and induce further imports.

(The views expressed in this column are of the author and not that of Cotton Association of India)



Since 1921, we are dedicated to the cause of Indian cotton.

Just one of the reasons, you should use our Laboratory Testing Services.

The Cotton Association of India (CAI) is respected as the chief trade body in the hierarchy of the Indian cotton economy. Since its origin in 1921, CAI's contribution has been unparalleled in the development of cotton across India.

The CAI is setting benchmarks across a wide spectrum of services targeting the entire cotton value chain. These range from research and development at the grass root level to education, providing an arbitration mechanism, maintaining Indian cotton grade standards, issuing Certificates of Origin to collecting and disseminating statistics and information. Moreover, CAI is an autonomous organization portraying professionalism and reliability in cotton testing.

The CAI's network of independent cotton testing & research laboratories are strategically spread across major cotton centres in India and are equipped with:

State-of-the-art technology & world-class Premier and MAG cotton testing machines

HVI test mode with trash% tested gravimetrically

LABORATORY LOCATIONS

Current locations : • Maharashtra : Mumbai; Yavatmal; Aurangabad; Jalgaon • Gujarat : Rajkot; Ahmedabad • Andhra Pradesh : Adoni • Madhya Pradesh : Khargone • Karnataka : Hubli • Punjab : Bathinda • Telangana: Warangal, Adilabad



COTTON ASSOCIATION OF INDIA

Cotton Exchange Building, 2nd Floor, Opposite Cotton Green Railway Station, Cotton Green (East), Mumbai - 400 033, Maharashtra, INDIA Tel.: +91 8657442944/45/46/47/48 • E-mail: cai@caionline.in • www.caionline.in

| | | | | | UPCOUI | NTRY SP | OT RAT | ES | | | | (R | s./Qtl) |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------|---------------|---|----------------------|------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Standard Descriptions with Basic Grade & Staple in Millimetres based on Upper Half Mean Length [By law 66 (A) (a) (4)] | | | | | Spot Rate (Upcountry) 2020-21 Crop February 2022 | | | | | | | | |
| Sr. No | . Growth | Grade Standard | Grade | Staple | Micronaire | Gravimetric Trash | Strength /GPT | 7th | 8th | 9th | 10th | 11th | 12th |
| 3 | GUJ | ICS-102 | Fine | 22mm | 4.0 - 6.0 | 13% | 20 | | 13919 (49500) | 13919 (49500) | 13919 (49500) | 14004 (49800) | 14088 (50100) |
| | | | | | | | | S | pot Rate | (Upcour | ntry) 202 | 21-22 Cr | op |
| 1 | P/H/R | ICS-101 | Fine | Below 22mm | 5.0 - 7.0 | 4% | 15 | | 15185 (54000) | 15269 (54300) | 15269 (54300) | 15297 (54400) | 15382 (54700) |
| 2 | P/H/R (SG) | ICS-201 | Fine | Below 22mm | 5.0 - 7.0 | 4.5% | 15 | N | 15353 (54600) | 15438 (54900) | 15438 (54900) | 15466 (55000) | 15550 (55300) |
| 3 | GUJ | ICS-102 | Fine | 22mm | 4.0 - 6.0 | 13% | 20 | | - | - | | - | - |
| 4 | KAR | ICS-103 | Fine | 23mm | 4.0 - 5.5 | 4.5% | 21 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | M/M (P) | ICS-104 | Fine | 23mm | 4.5 - 7.0 | 4% | 22 | Т | 19122 (68000) | 19262 (68500) | 19346 (68800) | 19403 (69000) | 19487 (69300) |
| 6 | P/H/R (U) (SG) | ICS-202 | Fine | 27mm | 3.5 - 4.9 | 4.5% | 26 | | 20471 (72800) | 20556 (73100) | 20640 (73400) | 20809 (74000) | 21034 (74800) |
| 7 | M/M(P)/ SA/TL | ICS-105 | Fine | 26mm | 3.0 - 3.4 | 4% | 25 | _ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | P/H/R(U) | ICS-105 | Fine | | 3.5 - 4.9 | 4% | 26 | | 20752 (73800) | 20837 (74100) | 20837 (74100) | 20977 (74600) | 21202 (75400) |
| 9 | M/M(P)/ SA/TL/G | ICS-105 | Fine | | 3.0 - 3.4 | 4% | 25 | _ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | M/M(P)/ SA/TL | ICS-105 | Fine | 27mm | 3.5 - 4.9 | 3.5% | 26 | Q | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | P/H/R(U) | ICS-105 | Fine | 28mm | 3.5 - 4.9 | 4% | 27 | _ | 21090 (75000) | 21174 (75300) | 21231 (75500) | 21427 (76200) | 21652 (77000) |
| 12 | M/M(P) | ICS-105 | Fine | 28mm | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3.5% | 27 | _ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | SA/TL/K | ICS-105 | Fine | 28mm | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3.5% | 27 | U | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | GUJ | ICS-105 | Fine | | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3% | 27 | | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | R(L) | ICS-105 | Fine | 29mm | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3.5% | 28 | 0 | 20724 (73700) | 20724 (73700) | 20724 (73700) | 20781 (73900) | 20865 (74200) |
| 16 | M/M(P) | ICS-105 | Fine | 29mm | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3.5% | 28 | | 22074 (78500) | 22130 (78700) | 22130 (78700) | 22187 (78900) | 22271 (79200) |
| 17 | SA/TL/K | ICS-105 | Fine | 29mm | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3% | 28 | _ | 22130 (78700) | 22187 (78900) | 22187 (78900) | 22243 (79100) | 22327 (79400) |
| | GUJ | ICS-105 | | | | 3% | 28 | Т | 21877 (77800) | | 21934 (78000) | 21990 (78200) | 22074 (78500) |
| | M/M(P) | ICS-105 | | | | 3.5% | 29 | | 22552 (80200) | 22608 (80400) | 22608 (80400) | 22665 (80600) | 22721 (80800) |
| | SA/TL/K/O | ICS-105 | | | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3% | 29 | Е | 22693 (80700) | | | 22805 (81100) | 22861 (81300) |
| 21 | M/M(P) | ICS-105 | | | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3% | 30 | | 22974 (81700) | 23030 (81900) | 23030 (81900) | 23086 (82100) | 23143 (82300) |
| 22 | SA/TL/ K / TN/O | ICS-105 | Fine | 31mm | 3.7 - 4.5 | 3% | 30 | | 23058 (82000) | 23115 (82200) | 23115 (82200) | 23171 (82400) | 23227 (82600) |
| 23 | SA/TL/K/ TN/O | ICS-106 | | | | 3% | 31 | D | N.A. (N.A.) | N.A. (N.A.) | N.A. (N.A.) | N.A. (N.A.) | N.A. (N.A.) |
| 24 | M/M(P) | ICS-107 | Fine | 34mm | 2.8 - 3.7 | 4% | 33 | | 29807 (106000) | 29526 (105000) | 29245 (104000) | 28964 (103000) (| 28823 (102500) |
| 25 | K/TN | ICS-107 | Fine | 34mm | 2.8 - 3.7 | 3.5% | 34 | | 30932 (110000) | 30932 (110000) | 30932 (110000) | 30932 (110000) (| 30932 (110000) |
| 26 | M/M(P) | ICS-107 | Fine | 35mm | 2.8 - 3.7 | 4% | 35 | | 30369 (108000) | 30088 (107000) | 29807 (106000) | 29526 (105000) (| 29385 (104500) |
| 27 | K/TN | ICS-107 | Fine | 35mm | 2.8 - 3.7 | 3.5% | 35 | | 32338 (115000) | 32338 (115000) | 32338 (115000) | 32338 (115000) (| 32338 (115000) |

(Note: Figures in bracket indicate prices in Rs./Candy)